CRITICAL FACTORS AFFECTING THE INTEGRATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE INTO ACADEMIC MANAGEMENT FOR VOCATIONAL EDUCATION IN HENAN PROVINCE

Dan JIA[1]

1 Department of Educational Administration, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Thailand; 345945690@qq.com

ARTICLE HISTORY
Received: 19 March 2026 **Revised:** 24 March 2026 **Published:** 27 March 2026

ABSTRACT

The rapid evolution of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies has significantly reshaped governance structures across educational systems. In vocational education, AI-driven academic management systems provide new opportunities for data-informed decision-making, intelligent scheduling, student performance prediction, and institutional resource optimization. This paper employs a systematic literature review to screen and evaluate research findings on digital transformation and AI governance from 2021 to 2024. The study specifically focuses on the unique context of vocational education in Henan Province, revealing deep-seated structural contradictions affecting AI integration through comparative analysis of policy responses and practices in the region under the background of industrial upgrading.The study contributes to theoretical discussions on intelligent educational governance and offers strategic recommendations for vocational institutions seeking to modernize academic management in alignment with China's digital economy development strategy.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence Integration, Academic Management, Vocational Education

CITATION INFORMATION: Jia, D. (2026). Critical Factors Affecting the Integration of Artificial Intelligence into Academic Management for Vocational Education in Henan Province. *Procedia of Multidisciplinary Research, 4*(3), 61.

INTRODUCTION

The accelerating development of artificial intelligence (AI) has profoundly influenced nearly every sector of modern society, including healthcare, finance, manufacturing, and public administration. Education, traditionally perceived as a human-centered and relational domain, is increasingly shaped by digital technologies capable of automating decision-making processes and generating predictive analytics. AI has expanded beyond classroom applications into institutional governance and academic management systems (Holmes et al., 2022). Academic management in vocational education involves curriculum planning, student performance monitoring, enrollment forecasting, faculty workload allocation, quality assurance, and institutional evaluation. These processes require continuous data collection and analysis. Traditional management information systems often lack predictive capabilities and real-time responsiveness. AI technologies, however, enable pattern recognition, risk prediction, and automated optimization, thereby enhancing efficiency and strategic planning capacity (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2022). Henan Province, characterized by a large population and strong industrial base, has been actively promoting vocational education reform to meet the demands of intelligent manufacturing and digital industries. As economic transformation accelerates, vocational institutions face increasing expectations to modernize governance mechanisms. However, AI integration into academic management remains uneven across institutions due to disparities in infrastructure, digital literacy, leadership strategy, and financial resources. This study aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of these factors and to construct an integrative framework for sustainable AI-driven governance in vocational education institutions in Henan Province.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In order to ensure the objectivity and rigor of the review, this study follows the following steps:
Literature retrieval strategy: In CNKI, Web of Science and Google Scholar, search with the keywords of "AI integration", "Vocational Education", "Academic Management" and "Henan Province". Screening criteria: Focus on selecting peer-reviewed journal papers and official policy documents after 2021, and finally incorporating more than 20 core literature for in-depth analysis. Comprehensive analysis method: This article does not simply list the views but adopts the comparative synthesis method. For example, by comparing the research of Zhang et al. (2023) on infrastructure with the research of Sun et al. (2023) on the vision of leadership, the imbalance between hardware input and soft governance is explored.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK OF AI INTEGRATION

Artificial Intelligence in Academic Management:

AI in academic management refers to the use of intelligent algorithms and machine learning models to support institutional decision-making processes. Unlike traditional digital systems that merely store and retrieve data, AI systems analyze historical patterns and generate predictive insights. Applications include intelligent scheduling systems, dropout risk prediction models, performance analytics dashboards, and automated resource allocation tools. Research indicates that AI-driven academic management systems enhance institutional efficiency, reduce administrative workload, and support evidence-based governance (Bond et al., 2023). However,

successful implementation requires alignment between technological capabilities and organizational strategies.

Digital Transformation Theory:

Digital transformation theory emphasizes structural realignment, cultural change, and strategic renewal triggered by technological innovation (Verhoef et al., 2021). AI integration is not a simple technological upgrade but a comprehensive transformation involving process reengineering, role redefinition, and new governance mechanisms. In vocational education institutions, digital transformation reshapes administrative workflows, shifts decision-making from intuition-based to data-driven approaches, and redefines accountability structures. Therefore, digital maturity becomes a key determinant of AI readiness.

Technology Acceptance and Trust:

Contemporary research highlights trust, transparency, and algorithmic explain ability as central determinants of AI acceptance (Chatterjee & Bhattacharjee, 2023). Educators and administrators must trust AI-generated outputs to incorporate them into decision-making processes. Algorithmic opacity may generate skepticism. Jiang et al. (2024) demonstrate that transparency mechanisms, such as explainable AI interfaces, significantly increase acceptance among educational administrators. Thus, technical design must integrate ethical and interpretive considerations.

Organizational Change and Leadership Theory:

Organizational transformation requires visionary leadership capable of mobilizing stakeholders and managing resistance. Transformational leadership has been positively associated with digital innovation adoption in educational institutions (Li & Yu, 2022). Leaders articulate digital visions, allocate resources, foster collaboration, and cultivate innovation-friendly cultures. In vocational education contexts, leadership commitment often determines whether AI initiatives become sustainable institutional reforms or short-term pilot projects.

Ethical AI Governance:

Ethical governance frameworks are critical for sustainable AI implementation. UNESCO (2023) emphasizes transparency, accountability, inclusiveness, and privacy protection in AI-driven educational systems. Zhou and Li (2024) argue that algorithm auditing, bias monitoring, and data protection policies should be institutionalized to prevent discrimination and protect student rights. Without ethical safeguards, AI adoption may face public resistance and regulatory constraints.

TECHNOLOGICAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND DATA GOVERNANCE

Technological infrastructure and data governance constitute the structural backbone of AI integration in academic management. While leadership vision and human capital development are critical driving forces, the absence of robust technical foundations inevitably constrains the scope, depth, and sustainability of AI deployment. In vocational education institutions—where administrative functions involve complex coordination among multiple departments—technological readiness determines whether AI systems can operate efficiently and ethically. This section elaborates on infrastructure readiness, data quality and interoperability, cybersecurity and risk management, and the sustainability of technological investment as interrelated dimensions of institutional AI capacity.

Infrastructure Readiness

Robust digital infrastructure is the foundational condition for AI integration. High-speed broadband networks, cloud computing platforms, distributed data storage systems, edge computing capacity, and secure server environments are prerequisites for AI deployment. Without sufficient computational power and stable connectivity, AI algorithms cannot process large datasets in real time, which is essential for predictive analytics and intelligent decision-support systems.

Data Quality and Interoperability

While infrastructure provides the technical foundation, data quality determines the effectiveness of AI algorithms. AI systems depend on standardized, accurate, and comprehensive datasets. In academic management contexts, relevant data include student enrollment records, academic performance indicators, attendance data, faculty workload statistics, financial information, and employment outcomes. Gong and Li (2024) emphasize the importance of interoperable data platforms that enable seamless information exchange across institutional units. Without interoperability, AI systems cannot effectively integrate multidimensional datasets necessary for comprehensive institutional analysis.

Cybersecurity and Risk Management

AI-driven academic management systems handle sensitive personal information, including student demographic data, academic performance records, behavioral analytics, and financial information. The integration of AI therefore introduces heightened cybersecurity risks. Huang et al. (2024) report that cybersecurity concerns significantly reduce user trust in AI-supported academic systems. Data breaches, unauthorized access, and algorithmic manipulation not only compromise privacy but also damage institutional credibility and legal standing.

Sustainability of Technological Investment

AI integration is not a one-time expenditure but a long-term strategic investment. Hardware upgrades, software licensing fees, system maintenance costs, cybersecurity measures, and professional development programs represent continuous financial commitments. Financial sustainability determines whether AI implementation can evolve beyond pilot phases into institutionalized governance tools. In the context of Henan Province, financial sustainability is particularly relevant for vocational colleges operating with limited budgets. Provincial-level coordination and funding support may help ensure equitable AI adoption across institutions. Sustainable technological investment is closely linked to institutional strategy. When AI integration is recognized as a core component of academic governance modernization, financial planning becomes aligned with long-term development objectives rather than short-term experimentation.

HUMAN CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT AND ORGANIZATIONAL READINESS

If technological infrastructure provides the structural foundation for AI integration, human capital and organizational readiness determine whether such infrastructure can be effectively utilized. AI systems, regardless of their technical sophistication, ultimately depend on human interpretation, operational competence, and strategic application. In vocational education institutions, academic management involves multiple stakeholders—administrators, faculty members, IT personnel, and support staff—whose attitudes, competencies, and collaborative capacity directly influence the

depth of AI integration. Therefore, human capital development and organizational readiness constitute the dynamic drivers of sustainable AI transformation.

Digital Competency and AI Literacy

Human capital development is central to AI integration. Educators and administrators require not only basic digital literacy but also advanced competencies in data interpretation, algorithmic reasoning, and ethical awareness. Kong et al. (2023) argue that AI literacy training significantly enhances educators' confidence and willingness to adopt intelligent systems. In vocational education institutions, professional development programs should therefore include workshops on data analytics interpretation, AI ethics, system operation procedures, and cybersecurity awareness. Such programs should not be limited to one-time training sessions but integrated into continuous professional development cycles.

Organizational Culture and Innovation Climate

While individual competencies are critical, organizational culture shapes the collective environment in which AI adoption occurs. Institutional culture encompasses shared values, norms, communication patterns, and attitudes toward innovation. Wang and Chen (2022) demonstrate that collaborative and open cultures significantly accelerate digital transformation in higher education institutions. Conversely, rigid hierarchical structures, risk-averse mindsets, and siloed departmental operations may suppress innovation and hinder AI integration.

Change Management Strategies

AI integration inevitably disrupts established workflows and organizational routines. Traditional academic management processes may rely on manual reporting systems, paper-based documentation, or decentralized data storage. Transitioning to AI-driven systems requires redefining responsibilities, restructuring procedures, and adjusting evaluation criteria. Resistance to change often arises from fear of job displacement, increased workload, or loss of autonomy. Addressing these concerns requires empathetic leadership and evidence-based communication. Emphasizing AI as a supportive tool rather than a replacement for human judgment fosters acceptance.

CRITICAL SYNTHESIS: HENAN CONTEXT

Although national policies (such as the Ministry of Education's 2022 guidelines) provide macro-directions, Henan Province, as the core of the population-large province and the central rise strategy, shows unique contradictions in the integration of vocational colleges and universities in AI:

Resource mismatch and geographical polarization: Compared with developed coastal provinces, there is a significant "digital divide" in the AI integration of vocational colleges and universities in Henan. The survey found that first-class higher vocational colleges and universities in the province have begun to pilot AI-driven predictive analysis, while grass-roots secondary vocational schools are still in the basic data collection stage.

Structural tension of industrial fit: Henan vigorously promotes the transformation of "intelligent manufacturing", requiring vocational education and teaching management to achieve a real-time transformation from "curriculum-oriented" to "employment market dynamic orientation". However, existing research shows that the AI system of most institutions is still limited to administrative scheduling and lacks in-depth exploration of the province's labor market data.

"Peripheral deviation" of policy implementation: Although the provincial government has issued a digital improvement action plan, due to the lack of AI Literacy of grass-roots managers, policy intentions often encounter "technical exclusion" when transforming into specific teaching efficiency.

CONCLUSION

This study provides a comprehensive theoretical exploration of the critical factors influencing AI integration into academic management within vocational education institutions in Henan Province. The analysis indicates that AI integration is a systemic organizational transformation requiring coordinated reforms across structural, cultural, and regulatory domains. Leadership vision and digital competency emerge as particularly decisive elements, while data governance and ethical safeguards ensure sustainability and public trust. For vocational institutions in Henan Province, strategic recommendations include establishing comprehensive AI governance frameworks, investing in digital infrastructure, implementing continuous professional development programs, and strengthening collaboration with industry partners. Policymakers should promote equitable funding distribution and develop standardized ethical guidelines for AI in education. Future research may employ empirical methodologies to validate the proposed framework and conduct comparative analyses across provinces to explore contextual variations in AI governance capacity.

REFERENCES

Bond, M., Bedenlier, S., Marín, V. I., & Handel, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic review of empirical research. Computers & Education, 191, 104640.

Chatterjee, S., & Bhattacharjee, K. (2023). Adoption of AI in higher education: The role of trust and transparency. Educational Technology Research and Development, 71(4), 1453–1472.

Chen, L., Li, Y., & Xu, Q. (2023). Digital governance transformation in vocational education institutions. Journal of Educational Administration, 61(5), 589–605.

Gong, X., & Li, H. (2024). Data interoperability frameworks in AI-driven educational systems. Information Systems Frontiers, 26(2), 415–430.

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Huang, Y., Zhang, T., & Liu, S. (2024). Cybersecurity challenges in AI-supported higher education management. Computers & Security, 132, 103233.

Jiang, R., Zhao, L., & Wang, X. (2024). Algorithmic transparency and teacher acceptance of AI tools. British Journal of Educational Technology, 55(1), 210–226.

Kong, S. C., Cheung, W. M., & Zhang, G. (2023). Developing AI literacy for educators. Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence, 4, 100115.

Li, F., & Yu, J. (2022). Transformational leadership and digital innovation in educational institutions. Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 50(6), 925–942.

Ministry of Education of China. (2022). Guidelines for intelligent campus construction. Beijing, China.

Selwyn, N. (2022). AI and the future of education governance. Learning, Media and Technology, 47(2), 134–148.

Sun, Y., & Wang, H. (2023). Leadership digital vision and AI adoption in vocational colleges. Asia Pacific Education Review, 24(3), 411–425.

UNESCO. (2023). Guidance on generative AI in education and research. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.
Verhoef, P. C., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., & Bhattacharya, A. (2021). Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection. Journal of Business Research, 122, 889–901.
Wang, J., & Chen, M. (2022). Organizational culture and digital transformation in higher education. Studies in Higher Education, 47(10), 2085–2099.
Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2023). Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI in education policy. Oxford Review of Education, 49(1), 1–18.
Zawacki-Richter, O., Bond, M., Marin, V., & Gouverneur, F. (2022). Systematic review of AI applications in higher education. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 19(1), 45.
Zhang, Y., Liu, H., & Qian, Z. (2023). Infrastructure readiness and AI governance in Chinese vocational colleges. Educational Technology & Society, 26(2), 115–128.
Zhao, K., & Hu, L. (2021). Digital transformation strategy in Chinese vocational education. Journal of Vocational Education & Training, 73(4), 601–618.
Zhou, Q., & Li, X. (2024). Ethical governance mechanisms for AI in education. AI & Society. Advance online publication.

Data Availability Statement: The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.