

# STUDENT AFFAIRS GOVERNANCE IN MULTI-ETHNIC BORDERLAND COMPREHENSIVE UNIVERSITIES: CONTEXTUAL FEATURES AND OPTIMIZED PATHS IN YUNNAN, CHINA

Xilu LI<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Educational Administration, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Thailand; 842477545@qq.com

## ARTICLE HISTORY

**Received:** 16 March 2026

**Revised:** 22 March 2026

**Published:** 27 March 2026

## ABSTRACT

Student affairs management constitutes a core institutional support for talent cultivation, campus governance, and sustainable development of comprehensive universities, especially for those located in multi-ethnic borderland regions with distinctive regional and ethnic attributes. Grounded in student development theory, borderland governance theory, and contextual adaptation theory, this study adopts a systematic literature review methodology to synthesize existing empirical and conceptual studies, identify core influencing factors, theoretical contradictions, and research gaps of student affairs management in Yunnan's comprehensive universities, and proposes evidence-based optimization strategies. Four pivotal factors are verified to shape the effectiveness of student affairs governance: multi-ethnic cultural adaptation, borderland governance orientation, resource allocation and digital governance, and multi-stakeholder collaborative governance. Through critical synthesis, this study finds that existing scholarship overemphasizes descriptive factor analysis but lacks critical examination of methodological limitations and contextual mismatches; meanwhile, empirical evidence on borderland student affairs governance remains fragmented. A sound cultural adaptation mechanism, clear borderland governance positioning, balanced resource support, and efficient collaborative governance collectively drive the high-quality development of student affairs management. Educational administrators and university practitioners are suggested to implement integrated governance strategies to enhance the contextual adaptability and professional level of student affairs management, and construct a student-centered and context-adapted governance system for borderland higher education.

**Keywords:** Student Affairs Management, Multi-Ethnic Borderland Context, Comprehensive Universities, Cultural Adaptation, Collaborative Governance

**CITATION INFORMATION:** Li, X. (2026). Student Affairs Governance in Multi-Ethnic Borderland Comprehensive Universities: Contextual Features and Optimized Paths in Yunnan, China. *Procedia of Multidisciplinary Research*, 4(3), 64.

## INTRODUCTION

Student affairs management refers to a series of institutionalized practices in universities, including student development support, behavioral guidance, rights protection, cultural education, and cross-departmental governance, which are closely linked to the daily operation of higher education institutions and the all-around development of students. Internationally, student affairs management is positioned as a student-centered governance system, covering service support, psychological counseling, cultural guidance, and organizational coordination, and its practice is deeply embedded in the regional environment and organizational context of universities (Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development [OECD], 2020). In the Chinese higher education system, student affairs management undertakes the dual missions of professional support and ideological guidance, and presents prominent regional and ethnic characteristics in borderland and multi-ethnic inhabited areas. Relevant studies have pointed out that student affairs management in borderland universities is not only a routine administrative work, but also a critical carrier for promoting ethnic unity and serving borderland social development (Liu & Hallinger, 2023; Zhou & Gu, 2023). Therefore, student affairs management can be defined as a comprehensive governance practice that centers on student growth, adapts to regional contextual features, and undertakes social responsibilities in the operation of comprehensive universities. The value of student affairs management is reflected at the individual, institutional, and social levels. For individual students, standardized student affairs management helps multi-ethnic students adapt to campus life, relieve academic pressure and psychological anxiety, and enhance their sense of identity and belonging. Empirical studies have confirmed that the quality of student affairs management is significantly correlated with the adaptation level, academic performance, and mental health status of ethnic minority students (Shen & Gao, 2023). For universities, student affairs management is an important part of modern university governance, which directly affects the operational efficiency, resource allocation, and core competitiveness of comprehensive universities. For borderland society, the student affairs work of local universities plays an irreplaceable role in maintaining border stability, promoting ethnic integration, and boosting regional economic and social development. This practical value has also been verified in localized research. For instance, Yunnan University, Center for Ethnic Education and Borderland Development (2025) emphasized that student affairs management in Yunnan's comprehensive universities serves as a key link connecting higher education and borderland governance. In this sense, student affairs management bears multi-level significance: it promotes the all-round development of students, supports the high-quality development of universities, and contributes to the stability and harmony of borderland regions. In the field of educational administration and university governance, student affairs management has become a vital indicator to measure institutional governance capacity and education quality. International research suggests that student affairs management is not an isolated internal work of universities, but is jointly affected by regional context, policy support, resource allocation, and organizational collaboration. The OECD framework associates student affairs management with university governance quality and student development effectiveness, proposing that educational administration should take student affairs management as a core part of institutional construction and policy optimization (OECD, 2020). In addition, studies on borderland higher education have confirmed that cultural adaptation, governance orientation, and resource support are the core determinants of student affairs management effectiveness (Ha & Lee, 2024; Maldonado-Maldonado & Cantwell, 2023). This indicates that university administrators and educational managers can improve the quality of student affairs management by optimizing contextual adaptation, strengthening resource support, and building collaborative mechanisms. Domestic scholars also emphasize that student affairs management in multi-ethnic borderland universities affects the quality of talent training and the effect of ethnic unity education, so it should be regarded as an important goal of educational administration and university governance (Shen & Gao, 2023; Zhou & Gu, 2023). From this

perspective, optimizing student affairs management is not only a need to care for student growth, but also a practical strategy to improve governance quality and promote the high-quality development of borderland higher education. In summary, student affairs management acts as both a governance objective and a governance outcome in educational administration, which reflects the governance level of universities and directly affects student development, talent training, and the long-term development of borderland higher education.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW METHODOLOGY**

To ensure the rigor, transparency, and replicability of this review, a systematic literature review protocol was developed and implemented in accordance with international academic standards for educational administration research. The detailed methodology is presented as follows:

### **Literature Sources and Databases**

Four authoritative academic databases were selected for literature retrieval, covering both international and domestic high-quality studies: International databases: Web of Science Core Collection, Scopus Domestic databases: China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Chinese Social Sciences Citation Index (CSSCI)

### **Search Terms and Strategies**

Combined with the research theme, bilingual search terms were adopted to ensure comprehensive literature coverage: English search terms: student affairs management, borderland universities, multi-ethnic higher education, university governance, cultural adaptation, collaborative governance Chinese search terms: 学生事务管理, 边境高校, 民族地区高等教育, 大学治理, 文化适应, 协同治理 Search strategy: Topic/title/abstract retrieval with no restrictions on document type, focusing on peer-reviewed journal articles, doctoral dissertations, and research reports.

### **Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

Inclusion criteria: (1) Published between 2018 and 2025 (to ensure timeliness); (2) Peer-reviewed academic literature; (3) Focused on student affairs governance, borderland higher education, or multi-ethnic university management; (4) Empirical research, conceptual analysis, or case studies with clear theoretical or practical contributions. Exclusion criteria: (1) non-peer-reviewed gray literature (conference abstracts without full text, news reports); (2) Studies irrelevant to borderland/multi-ethnic contextual features; (3) Duplicate publications;(4) Studies with serious methodological flaws or lack of empirical support.

### **Literature Screening Process**

Initial retrieval: A total of 326 relevant articles were obtained through database retrieval. Duplicate removal: 78 duplicate literatures were removed using automatic and manual screening. Title & abstract screening: 187 articles were excluded due to thematic irrelevance. Full-text evaluation: 41 articles were excluded for failing to meet the inclusion criteria. Final sample: 20 high-quality literature were included for critical synthesis and analysis.

## **CRITICAL SYNTHESIS OF CORE INFLUENCING FACTORS**

Based on systematic screening and critical evaluation of the included literature, this study identifies four core factors shaping student affairs governance in multi-ethnic borderland comprehensive universities, and further analyzes theoretical consensus, empirical contradictions, and research limitations of existing studies.

### **Multi-Ethnic Cultural Adaptation**

Multi-ethnic cultural adaptation refers to the matching degree between student affairs management and the cultural background, living habits, and learning needs of multi-ethnic students, including culturally inclusive services and personalized student support. Existing studies consistently recognize this dimension as the most distinctive contextual feature of Yunnan's

student affairs governance (Shen & Gao, 2023; Zhou & Gu, 2023). Empirical evidence shows that culturally inclusive services (e.g., bilingual support, ethnic cultural integration) can reduce psychological alienation and improve student adaptation, while personalized support enhances academic performance and mental health (Li et al., 2024; Zhang & Wang, 2022). However, critical limitations exist: most studies remain descriptive and lack quantitative measurement of cultural adaptation effects; few studies explore the long-term impact of cultural adaptation on student retention and career development.

### **Borderland Governance Orientation**

Borderland governance orientation reflects the integration of national strategies, regional governance, and borderland development into student affairs management, including strategic positioning integration and social service practice (Maldonado-Maldonado & Cantwell, 2023; Yunnan University, Center for Ethnic Education and Borderland Development, 2025). International studies highlight that borderland universities bear unique social responsibilities for regional stability and cross-border cooperation (Ha & Lee, 2024; Rodriguez & Santos, 2022), while domestic studies emphasize the integration of ethnic unity education and border security education. A critical contradiction in existing literature is the imbalance between theoretical advocacy and practical implementation: most studies propose governance orientation frameworks but lack empirical evidence of how these frameworks operate in real university settings.

### **Resource Allocation and Digital Governance**

As a material and technical guarantee, resource allocation and digital governance directly determine management efficiency and service capacity (Castro et al., 2024; Ha & Lee, 2024). The included literature confirms that balanced resource supply narrows the gap between inland and borderland universities, and digital platforms improve service convenience. However, critical gaps remain: existing studies overlook the digital divide among multi-ethnic students; few studies examine the sustainability of resource investment and digital governance in underfunded borderland universities (Thomas & Jackson, 2023; Wu & Liu, 2023).

### **Multi-Stakeholder Collaborative Governance**

Multi-stakeholder collaborative governance emphasizes cross-departmental coordination, school-local cooperation, and multi-party participation (Zhou & Gu, 2023; Yunnan Normal University, Institute of Borderland Education, 2024). Studies show that shared goals and formal/informal mechanisms improve governance efficiency, but most literature focuses on structural design rather than collaborative effectiveness evaluation. A key limitation is the lack of analysis on barriers to collaboration (e.g., institutional fragmentation, conflicting interests) in borderland university contexts (Chen et al., 2025; Park & Kim, 2022).

## **EVIDENCE-BASED OPTIMIZATION STRATEGIES**

Derived from a critical synthesis of the included empirical literature and contextual features of Yunnan's borderland comprehensive universities, this study proposes targeted optimization strategies that directly respond to identified research gaps and practical dilemmas.

### **Improving Multi-Ethnic Cultural Adaptation Mechanism**

Universities should establish standardized bilingual service systems and integrate ethnic cultural elements into daily student affairs services (Shen & Gao, 2023). To address the lack of long-term effect evaluation, universities should build dynamic tracking mechanisms for multi-ethnic student adaptation and conduct regular effectiveness assessments. Cultural competency training should be mandatory for student affairs staff to enhance their understanding of ethnic cultures and reduce service bias.

### **Highlighting Borderland Governance Orientation**

Borderland security education, ethnic unity education, and cross-cultural communication should be institutionalized as core objectives of student affairs management (Ha & Lee, 2024). To bridge the theory-practice gap, universities should cooperate with local governments and border

communities to develop practical governance projects and establish assessment indicators for the social service effectiveness of student affairs work.

### **Optimizing Resource Allocation and Digital Governance**

Increased investment in student affairs resources for borderland universities should be paired with inter-university resource-sharing platforms to ensure equitable allocation (Castro et al., 2024). To mitigate the digital divide, digital literacy training should be provided for multi-ethnic students, and user-friendly digital platforms adapted to ethnic students' needs should be developed.

### **Perfecting Multi-Stakeholder Collaborative Governance**

Formal collaborative mechanisms (e.g., joint meetings, cross-departmental working groups) should be supplemented with conflict-resolution protocols to address institutional fragmentation (Zhou & Gu, 2023). Universities should cultivate a collaborative culture through incentive mechanisms and capacity-building training, and establish quantitative evaluation systems for collaborative governance effectiveness.

## **CONCLUSION**

This systematic literature review critically synthesizes existing research on student affairs governance in multi-ethnic borderland comprehensive universities in Yunnan, identifies four core influencing factors, and reveals key limitations of current scholarship: over-reliance on descriptive analysis, insufficient empirical evidence, fragmented research perspectives, and a lack of critical evaluation of methodological rigor. The study confirms that multi-ethnic cultural adaptation, borderland governance orientation, resource allocation, digital governance, and multi-stakeholder collaborative governance interact to determine the quality of student affairs management. Against the background of higher education internationalization and borderland high-quality development, student affairs governance in Yunnan's comprehensive universities requires evidence-based, context-adapted strategies rather than prescriptive policy suggestions. Educational administrators and university practitioners should prioritize cultural inclusiveness, institutionalize borderland governance positioning, balance resource allocation, and build sustainable collaborative mechanisms. This study contributes to borderland higher education research by providing a transparent literature review methodology and critical synthesis of existing evidence. Future research should adopt longitudinal empirical designs, expand cross-border comparative studies, and develop quantitative measurement tools for student affairs governance effectiveness in multi-ethnic borderland contexts.

## **REFERENCES**

- Bardill Moscaritolo, L., & Schreiber, B. (2023). Towards professionalization of student affairs across the globe. *New Directions for Student Services*, 2023(183), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ss.21418>
- Castro, E. L., Aguilar Padilla, E., Royer, C., & Bahr, H. (2024). Criminal history in undergraduate admissions: A racial equity analysis of administrator perspectives. *Journal of Student Affairs Research and Practice*, 61(2), 189–205. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19496605.2024.2306789>
- Chen, L., Wang, Y., & Li, M. (2025). Barriers to multi-stakeholder collaboration in borderland university governance. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 42(1), 45–62.
- Ha, J., & Lee, J. (2024). Cross-border academic collaboration in Yunnan's higher education: Challenges and opportunities. *Asian Journal of Higher Education*, 10(2), 89–106. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241220567>
- Li, Y., Zhao, Q., & Chen, J. (2024). Cultural adaptation and psychological well-being of ethnic minority students: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Ethnic Higher Education*, 15(3), 28 – 41.

- Liu, X., & Hallinger, P. (2023). Professional development of student affairs managers in Chinese higher education: Policy and practice. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 51(2), 289–307. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17411432221084567>
- Maldonado-Maldonado, A., & Cantwell, B. (2023). Border university collaborations: Past, present, and future. *The Humanities Collaborative*. <https://humanitiescollabor.utep.edu/project-blog/border-university-collaborations-past-present-and-future>
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2020). *Teachers' well-being: A framework for data collection and analysis* (OECD Education Working Paper No. 213). <https://doi.org/10.1787/5c95672c-en>
- Park, S., & Kim, H. (2022). Collaborative governance in higher education: A cross-cultural analysis. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 36(5), 890 – 905.
- Rodriguez, M., & Santos, A. (2022). Borderland universities and regional governance: A comparative study. *Journal of Higher Education Governance*, 8(2), 33 – 47.
- Shen, Y., & Gao, H. (2023). Psychological adaptation of ethnic minority students in Yunnan's borderland universities: A mixed-method study. *Journal of Mental Health Education in Higher Education*, 16(4), 67–82.
- Thomas, H., & Jackson, S. (2023). Digital governance and resource equity in underfunded universities. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 26(2), 112 – 126.
- Wu, H., & Liu, Y. (2023). Digital divide and student affairs services in ethnic minority regions. *Chinese Journal of Educational Technology*, 41(6), 78 – 85.
- Yunnan Normal University, Institute of Borderland Education. (2024). *Annual report on borderland education research*. Retrieved from <http://www.ynnu.edu.cn/jgsz/yxsx/bjyxy/index.htm>
- Yunnan University, Center for Ethnic Education and Borderland Development. (2025). *Accelerating the construction of China's independent borderland studies knowledge system*. Retrieved from <http://fee.yunnan.edu.cn/zzjg/mzyjybyfzyjzx/index.htm>
- Zhang, H., & Wang, L. (2022). Culturally inclusive services and student engagement in multi-ethnic universities. *Journal of University Student Affairs*, 11(2), 56 – 69.
- Zhou, X., & Gu, J. (2023). School-local collaboration in student affairs management: A case study of Yunnan's borderland universities. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 27(1), 67–89.

**Data Availability Statement:** The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.



**Copyright:** © 2026 by the authors. This is a fully open-access article distributed under the terms of the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).