

THE APPLICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LEADERSHIP IN CHINESE UNIVERSITIES

TingTing YANG¹ and Thada SITHTHADA²

1 Department of Educational Administration, Graduate School, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Thailand; 972269068@qq.com

2 Graduate School, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Thailand; Thada.si@ssru.ac.th

ARTICLE HISTOR

Received: 4 August 2026

Revised: 10 August 2026

Published: 28 August 2026

ABSTRACT

The rapid advancement of digital technologies and the increasing complexity of higher education governance have significantly transformed the roles and responsibilities of administrative leadership in universities. Administrative leadership is no longer confined to routine management and institutional administration; instead, it has become a strategic force for promoting organizational effectiveness, institutional innovation, and sustainable development. This paper examines the application of administrative leadership in universities through a comprehensive review of contemporary educational leadership literature. First, the paper clarifies the concept and characteristics of administrative leadership within the context of higher education. Second, it discusses the theoretical foundations underpinning administrative leadership, including Distributed Leadership Theory, Transformational Leadership Theory, and New Public Management Theory. Furthermore, the paper analyzes the application of administrative leadership in three major areas: enhancing university governance efficiency, facilitating digital transformation, and promoting organizational innovation and sustainable development. The analysis demonstrates that effective administrative leadership strengthens institutional coordination, improves decision-making quality, supports digital governance, and cultivates adaptive organizational cultures capable of responding to rapidly changing educational environments. The paper concludes that universities should continuously strengthen administrative leaders' strategic thinking, digital competencies, collaborative leadership, and evidence-based decision-making abilities to achieve sustainable institutional development in the digital era.

Keywords: Administrative Leadership; Universities; Digital Governance

CITATION INFORMATION: Yang T., & Siththada, T. (2026). The Application of Administrative Leadership in Chinese universities. *Procedia of Multidisciplinary Research*, 4(8), 56.

INTRODUCTION

The governance of universities has undergone substantial transformation over the past decade due to globalization, digitalization, massification of higher education, and increasing public accountability. Universities are expected not only to provide high-quality teaching and research but also to respond effectively to technological change, international competition, financial constraints, and diverse stakeholder expectations (OECD, 2023). These developments have expanded the responsibilities of university leaders beyond academic affairs to include institutional governance, strategic planning, resource management, organizational coordination, digital transformation, and quality assurance. Consequently, administrative leadership has emerged as a critical component of effective university governance.

Administrative leadership generally refers to the capacity of institutional leaders to coordinate organizational resources, formulate strategic directions, implement policies, and facilitate collaboration among various administrative and academic units to achieve institutional objectives (Bush, 2020). Unlike instructional leadership, which primarily focuses on improving teaching and learning processes, or academic leadership, which emphasizes research development and academic excellence, administrative leadership concerns the effective management of organizational structures, operational systems, financial resources, human resources, and institutional governance. Within higher education institutions, administrative leaders include university presidents, vice presidents, deans, department directors, registrars, and senior professional administrators who collectively contribute to institutional performance and organizational sustainability.

The concept of administrative leadership has evolved considerably from traditional bureaucratic administration toward more collaborative, strategic, and transformational approaches. Earlier perspectives viewed university administration mainly as a mechanism for maintaining organizational order through hierarchical authority and standardized procedures. However, contemporary universities operate within increasingly dynamic environments characterized by technological innovation, cross-sector collaboration, digital governance, and knowledge-intensive work. Consequently, administrative leadership has shifted from controlling organizational processes toward facilitating organizational learning, promoting innovation, and leading institutional change (Bolden et al., 2019). Although administrative leadership has received increasing scholarly attention internationally, existing research remains fragmented. Many studies examine leadership styles, governance models, or digital transformation separately, while relatively few integrate these perspectives to explain how administrative leadership is applied within contemporary universities. Moreover, empirical evidence from developing regions and specialized institutions, including medical universities, remains relatively limited. This paper therefore seeks to synthesize recent theoretical and empirical research on administrative leadership and examine its practical application in university governance within the context of rapid digital transformation.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF ADMINISTRATIVE LEADERSHIP

Administrative leadership in universities has evolved into a multidimensional concept that extends beyond traditional administrative functions to encompass strategic governance, organizational coordination, institutional innovation, and digital transformation. As higher education institutions face increasing complexity driven by globalization, technological advancement, accountability reforms, and changing stakeholder expectations, effective administrative leadership has become indispensable for sustainable university development. Understanding the application of administrative leadership therefore requires a strong theoretical foundation. Among numerous leadership theories, Distributed Leadership Theory, Transformational Leadership Theory, and New Public Management (NPM) Theory provide the

most comprehensive framework for explaining how administrative leadership functions within contemporary universities.

1.1 Distributed Leadership Theory

Distributed Leadership Theory has become one of the most influential theoretical perspectives in educational leadership over the past two decades. Rather than viewing leadership as the responsibility of a single individual, distributed leadership conceptualizes leadership as a collective process that emerges through interactions among multiple organizational members (Spillane, 2006). In higher education, where universities operate through highly decentralized academic and administrative structures, leadership responsibilities are naturally distributed among presidents, vice presidents, deans, department chairs, administrative directors, faculty members, and professional staff.

Harris (2020) argues that distributed leadership reflects the increasing organizational complexity of contemporary educational institutions. Universities require collaboration across multiple departments and functional units to address challenges associated with digital transformation, research management, financial sustainability, and internationalization. Administrative leaders therefore function not only as decision-makers but also as facilitators who coordinate organizational expertise, encourage collaborative problem-solving, and promote shared responsibility.

Within university administration, distributed leadership strengthens governance effectiveness by reducing dependence on hierarchical authority and increasing organizational participation. Instead of relying exclusively on senior administrators, universities encourage middle managers and professional staff to participate actively in institutional decision-making. This collaborative leadership structure improves communication, increases organizational trust, and facilitates more adaptive responses to environmental changes (Bolden et al., 2019).

1.2 The application of distributed leadership has become particularly important during digital transformation.

The implementation of digital governance systems—including enterprise resource planning (ERP), learning management systems (LMS), institutional data platforms, and artificial intelligence-assisted decision support—requires expertise from information technology specialists, academic managers, administrative offices, and senior leadership. Effective administrative leadership therefore depends upon coordinated leadership practices distributed across organizational boundaries rather than centralized control.

However, distributed leadership does not imply the absence of formal leadership. Instead, administrative leaders continue to play essential strategic roles by establishing organizational vision, allocating institutional resources, creating collaborative cultures, and facilitating coordination among multiple leadership actors (Bush, 2020). Consequently, distributed leadership provides an important theoretical explanation for understanding how administrative leadership supports effective university governance through collective organizational capacity.

TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP THEORY

Transformational Leadership Theory represents another essential theoretical foundation for administrative leadership in universities. Originally developed by Burns (1978) and further refined by Bass (1985), transformational leadership emphasizes leaders' ability to inspire organizational members to achieve goals beyond individual interests through vision, motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized support. Within higher education, transformational leadership has become increasingly relevant because universities continuously encounter organizational change driven by technological innovation, policy reforms, globalization, and shifting societal expectations.

Leithwood and Sun (2020) suggest that transformational leadership positively influences organizational effectiveness by promoting innovation, enhancing employee commitment, and

strengthening institutional adaptability. Administrative leaders who adopt transformational leadership create shared organizational visions, encourage collaborative learning, empower employees, and cultivate organizational cultures that support continuous improvement.

In the context of university administration, transformational leadership extends beyond routine management functions. Administrative leaders are expected to guide organizational change, support institutional modernization, improve service quality, and develop innovative governance models capable of responding to increasingly complex higher education environments. Effective administrative leaders communicate institutional goals clearly, encourage participation in decision-making, recognize staff contributions, and promote professional development opportunities that enhance organizational performance.

The significance of transformational leadership has become even more evident during digital transformation. Digital technologies fundamentally alter administrative procedures, organizational communication, financial management, student services, and academic administration. Successful implementation of these technological innovations requires leaders who can reduce resistance to change, motivate organizational members to adopt new technologies, and cultivate a culture of continuous learning.

Furthermore, transformational leadership contributes significantly to employee engagement and organizational resilience. Research indicates that university staff demonstrate greater organizational commitment and higher job satisfaction when administrative leaders provide clear strategic direction, encourage professional autonomy, and recognize individual contributions (Nguyen, Harris, & Ng, 2023). Consequently, transformational leadership provides an important theoretical explanation for understanding how administrative leadership promotes organizational effectiveness in modern universities.

New Public Management Theory

New Public Management (NPM) Theory provides an institutional perspective for understanding the evolution of administrative leadership in higher education. Since the 1980s, governments worldwide have introduced public-sector reforms emphasizing efficiency, accountability, performance measurement, customer orientation, and strategic management. These reforms have profoundly influenced university governance by encouraging institutions to adopt managerial practices traditionally associated with private-sector organizations (Deem & Brehony, 2017).

Within higher education, New Public Management has transformed administrative leadership from traditional bureaucratic administration toward strategic organizational management. Universities increasingly emphasize measurable performance indicators, institutional rankings, quality assurance systems, financial accountability, and evidence-based policy implementation. Administrative leaders therefore assume broader responsibilities related to institutional planning, resource allocation, organizational performance, risk management, and stakeholder engagement.

APPLICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LEADERSHIP IN ENHANCING UNIVERSITY GOVERNANCE

The governance of universities has become increasingly complex due to rapid technological advancement, globalization, changing government policies, and growing public expectations regarding institutional accountability. Contemporary universities are expected to simultaneously achieve excellence in teaching, research, community engagement, and innovation while responding effectively to financial pressures and digital transformation. Under these circumstances, administrative leadership has become one of the most influential factors affecting university governance and institutional performance (OECD, 2023). Rather than functioning solely as administrators responsible for routine operations, administrative leaders now play strategic roles in coordinating institutional resources, facilitating organizational collaboration, strengthening governance systems, and promoting sustainable institutional development.

University governance refers to the structures, processes, and relationships through which higher education institutions make decisions, allocate resources, establish strategic priorities, and ensure accountability (Marginson, 2016). Effective governance requires not only appropriate institutional policies but also capable administrative leaders who can coordinate multiple stakeholders, balance competing interests, and guide organizational change. Consequently, the application of administrative leadership has become central to improving governance efficiency in modern universities.

One of the primary contributions of administrative leadership lies in strategic planning and institutional decision-making. Universities operate in highly uncertain environments characterized by demographic changes, technological disruption, international competition, and evolving labor market demands. Administrative leaders are responsible for translating institutional missions into long-term strategic plans that align academic priorities with organizational capacity. Bush (2020) argues that strategic leadership enables universities to establish clear institutional goals, prioritize resource allocation, and create coherent development strategies capable of responding to external challenges. Effective administrative leaders therefore combine analytical decision-making with strategic vision, ensuring that institutional policies remain consistent with long-term organizational objectives.

Evidence-based decision-making has become another critical aspect of administrative leadership. Contemporary universities increasingly rely on institutional research offices, performance indicators, learning analytics, and financial data to support strategic governance. Rather than making decisions based solely on experience or hierarchical authority, administrative leaders utilize data to evaluate institutional performance, identify organizational problems, and assess policy outcomes. UNESCO (2023) emphasizes that data-informed governance improves institutional transparency, strengthens accountability, and supports continuous organizational improvement. Consequently, administrative leadership increasingly requires competencies in data analysis, digital governance, and organizational evaluation.

Administrative leadership also contributes significantly to organizational coordination. Universities represent highly decentralized organizations consisting of numerous academic departments, administrative offices, research centers, and external partners. Without effective coordination, organizational fragmentation may reduce institutional efficiency and hinder policy implementation. Administrative leaders therefore serve as organizational integrators who facilitate communication across institutional boundaries, resolve conflicts among departments, and encourage collaborative decision-making. Human resource management constitutes another major responsibility of administrative leadership. Universities depend primarily upon highly qualified academic and professional staff whose expertise determines institutional performance. Administrative leaders therefore create organizational environments that attract talented employees, support professional development, and encourage collaborative organizational cultures. Fullan (2020) emphasizes that organizational improvement depends largely upon leaders' ability to build professional capacity rather than merely supervise organizational processes.

Administrative leadership further strengthens university governance through policy implementation. Universities continuously introduce new institutional policies concerning academic quality assurance, digital transformation, internationalization, research management, sustainability, and student support. The success of these initiatives depends largely upon administrative leaders' capacity to translate policy objectives into operational practices. Effective implementation requires clear communication, stakeholder participation, continuous monitoring, and organizational learning. Research conducted by Nguyen, Harris, and Ng (2023) indicates that administrative leaders who encourage employee participation during policy implementation achieve higher levels of organizational commitment and more successful institutional change. Staff members are more willing to support new initiatives when they understand institutional

objectives and participate actively in decision-making processes. Consequently, administrative leadership enhances governance not only through authority but also through collaboration and organizational trust.

However, quality assurance should not be viewed solely as a mechanism for external accountability. Instead, effective administrative leaders utilize evaluation systems as instruments for continuous organizational improvement. By analyzing institutional performance data, identifying weaknesses, and implementing evidence-based improvements, administrative leaders contribute to sustainable organizational learning and institutional excellence.

APPLICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LEADERSHIP IN FACILITATING DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION

Digital transformation in universities refers to the comprehensive integration of digital technologies into institutional governance, teaching, research, administrative management, and student services. Unlike simple technology adoption, digital transformation requires organizational restructuring, changes in management practices, and the development of a digital culture that encourages innovation and continuous improvement (Bond et al., 2024). Administrative leadership therefore plays a central role in guiding universities through these complex organizational changes.

One of the most important applications of administrative leadership is the development of digital governance strategies. Digital governance involves the use of digital technologies to improve institutional transparency, administrative efficiency, evidence-based decision-making, and stakeholder participation. Administrative leaders are responsible for establishing long-term digital strategies that align technological investments with institutional missions and strategic objectives. Effective digital governance requires leaders to evaluate organizational needs, allocate technological resources appropriately, and ensure that digital initiatives support teaching, research, and administrative services simultaneously.

Strategic planning is particularly important because digital transformation requires substantial financial investment and organizational commitment. Administrative leaders must prioritize technology implementation based on institutional capacity while balancing competing organizational demands. According to OECD (2023), universities that integrate digital transformation into their strategic planning demonstrate higher levels of organizational adaptability, operational efficiency, and institutional resilience than institutions adopting isolated technological solutions.

Another significant contribution of administrative leadership concerns data-driven decision-making. Modern universities generate enormous amounts of institutional data related to student enrollment, academic performance, financial management, research productivity, human resources, and campus operations. Administrative leaders increasingly rely on data analytics to monitor institutional performance, identify organizational challenges, predict future trends, and formulate evidence-based policies.

Data-driven governance enhances both organizational effectiveness and accountability. Through institutional dashboards, predictive analytics, and integrated management information systems, administrative leaders gain comprehensive insights into university operations that support more informed and timely decision-making. Bond et al. (2024) argue that evidence-based leadership enables universities to allocate resources more efficiently, improve student success, optimize administrative processes, and strengthen institutional competitiveness.

However, the implementation of AI also presents significant ethical and managerial challenges. Administrative leaders must establish institutional policies addressing data privacy, algorithmic transparency, cybersecurity, and responsible AI governance. UNESCO (2023) emphasizes that digital transformation should remain human-centered, ensuring that technological innovation enhances rather than replaces professional judgment and educational values. Consequently,

administrative leadership involves balancing technological innovation with ethical responsibility and institutional integrity.

Administrative leadership also facilitates digital transformation by promoting organizational learning and professional development. The successful adoption of digital technologies depends not only on technological infrastructure but also on employees' digital competencies. Academic staff, administrative personnel, and institutional leaders require continuous professional development to effectively utilize emerging digital tools and management systems.

Transformational leadership theory suggests that leaders influence organizational change by motivating employees to embrace innovation and continuous learning (Fullan, 2020). Administrative leaders therefore create supportive learning environments by providing digital training programs, encouraging interdisciplinary collaboration, and recognizing innovative practices. Such initiatives reduce resistance to technological change while strengthening employees' confidence in digital environments.

Cross-departmental collaboration represents another important dimension of digital transformation. The implementation of enterprise resource planning systems, smart campus platforms, and integrated information systems requires cooperation among information technology departments, academic faculties, finance offices, student affairs divisions, and institutional leadership. Administrative leaders coordinate these diverse stakeholders by establishing collaborative governance structures and facilitating organizational communication. Distributed leadership theory provides a valuable framework for understanding digital transformation because technological innovation requires shared expertise rather than centralized authority (Harris, 2020). Administrative leaders encourage collaborative problem-solving by involving technical specialists, faculty members, and administrative staff in digital planning and implementation processes. Such collaborative approaches increase organizational commitment and improve the quality of technological innovation.

The development of smart campuses further illustrates the application of administrative leadership in digital transformation. Smart campuses integrate Internet of Things (IoT) technologies, cloud computing, artificial intelligence, and digital communication systems to enhance campus management, energy efficiency, security, transportation, and student services. Administrative leaders coordinate these initiatives by aligning technological innovation with institutional sustainability goals and user needs.

Beyond operational efficiency, smart campus development contributes to sustainable university governance. Digital monitoring systems enable universities to optimize energy consumption, improve environmental management, and reduce operational costs while enhancing campus safety and service quality. Administrative leadership therefore supports not only technological modernization but also environmental sustainability and institutional resilience.

Digital communication has likewise transformed administrative leadership practices. Traditional hierarchical communication structures have gradually been replaced by digital collaboration platforms, online meetings, electronic document management systems, and social communication tools. These technologies enable administrative leaders to communicate more efficiently with faculty members, students, external partners, and government agencies. During organizational crises, digital communication platforms facilitate rapid information dissemination, coordinated decision-making, and institutional continuity.

Finally, digital transformation has expanded the competency requirements for administrative leaders themselves. Contemporary university leaders must possess digital literacy, strategic thinking, systems leadership, innovation management, and evidence-based decision-making capabilities. Administrative leadership is therefore evolving from traditional organizational management toward digital leadership, where leaders integrate technology, organizational strategy, and human development to achieve institutional excellence.

APPLICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LEADERSHIP IN PROMOTING ORGANIZATIONAL INNOVATION AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

In an increasingly dynamic and knowledge-driven society, universities are expected not only to deliver high-quality education and research but also to become innovative organizations capable of responding to complex social, economic, and technological challenges. Innovation has become a defining characteristic of world-class universities, while sustainable development has emerged as a strategic objective guiding institutional governance and long-term planning. Within this context, administrative leadership plays a pivotal role in fostering organizational innovation, strengthening institutional resilience, and promoting sustainable development. Rather than merely maintaining organizational stability, administrative leaders are increasingly expected to create environments that encourage continuous learning, collaboration, creativity, and responsible governance.

Organizational innovation refers to the implementation of new ideas, management practices, organizational structures, and institutional processes that improve organizational effectiveness and enhance institutional performance. Unlike technological innovation, which focuses primarily on the adoption of new technologies, organizational innovation emphasizes changes in leadership practices, governance systems, organizational culture, and management strategies. Universities that successfully promote organizational innovation are generally better equipped to respond to changing educational demands, international competition, and digital transformation (OECD, 2023).

Administrative leadership contributes to organizational innovation by developing a strategic vision that encourages institutional change. Effective administrative leaders recognize that innovation is not an isolated activity but a continuous organizational process requiring long-term planning, shared commitment, and collaborative leadership. They establish strategic priorities that align innovation initiatives with institutional missions while ensuring that organizational resources are allocated effectively. Through strategic leadership, administrative leaders create favorable conditions in which academic departments, research centers, and administrative units are encouraged to experiment with new approaches, share knowledge, and pursue continuous improvement.

The concept of organizational learning is particularly relevant within rapidly changing higher education environments. New technologies, evolving educational policies, and changing societal expectations require universities to continuously update organizational knowledge and managerial practices. Administrative leaders therefore establish professional development programs, leadership training initiatives, and institutional learning communities that enhance employees' capacities to respond effectively to emerging challenges.

Research has consistently demonstrated that organizations characterized by continuous learning exhibit greater adaptability, innovation, and resilience. According to Harris (2020), collaborative learning strengthens institutional capacity by enabling organizational members to exchange expertise, solve complex problems collectively, and develop shared leadership capabilities. Administrative leadership therefore supports organizational innovation by creating structures that facilitate knowledge sharing and professional collaboration across institutional boundaries.

Effective administrative leaders invest in employee development by providing opportunities for professional learning, leadership development, career advancement, and interdisciplinary collaboration. Rather than viewing employees simply as organizational resources, contemporary administrative leadership emphasizes talent development, employee well-being, and professional empowerment. Such approaches increase employee motivation, organizational commitment, and innovative behavior. Adaptive leadership enables universities to maintain institutional continuity during periods of uncertainty while simultaneously identifying new opportunities for organizational improvement. Rather than resisting change, resilient organizations view

uncertainty as an opportunity for innovation and learning. Administrative leadership therefore becomes essential in transforming crises into catalysts for organizational development.

CONCLUSION

Administrative leadership has become an indispensable component of contemporary university governance. As higher education institutions face increasing pressures arising from digital transformation, globalization, financial constraints, and rapidly changing societal expectations, the responsibilities of administrative leaders have expanded far beyond traditional administrative management. Contemporary administrative leaders are expected to provide strategic direction, coordinate institutional resources, facilitate organizational collaboration, promote innovation, and ensure sustainable institutional development. Consequently, understanding the application of administrative leadership has become increasingly important for both educational researchers and university practitioners.

This paper examined the application of administrative leadership in universities from three complementary perspectives. First, administrative leadership contributes significantly to improving university governance by strengthening strategic planning, evidence-based decision-making, organizational coordination, policy implementation, quality assurance, and institutional accountability. Effective administrative leadership enables universities to respond more efficiently to internal and external challenges while improving institutional performance and governance effectiveness.

Second, administrative leadership serves as a driving force behind digital transformation in higher education. The rapid integration of digital technologies—including artificial intelligence, big data, cloud computing, and smart campus systems—requires leaders who possess strategic vision, digital competence, and organizational change management capabilities. Administrative leaders play essential roles in developing digital governance strategies, promoting data-informed decision-making, supporting professional development, and facilitating organizational adaptation to technological change. Successful digital transformation therefore depends not only on technological infrastructure but also on effective administrative leadership capable of guiding organizational change.

Third, administrative leadership promotes organizational innovation and sustainable development by cultivating collaborative organizational cultures, encouraging organizational learning, strengthening institutional resilience, investing in human capital, and integrating sustainability into university governance. Universities increasingly operate as learning organizations that require continuous innovation to remain competitive in rapidly changing global environments. Administrative leaders therefore become catalysts for institutional innovation by empowering employees, encouraging interdisciplinary collaboration, fostering organizational trust, and supporting evidence-based continuous improvement.

REFERENCE

- Bolden, R., Jones, S., Davis, H., & Gentle, P. (2019). *Developing and sustaining shared leadership in higher education*. Routledge.
- Bush, T. (2020). *Theories of educational leadership and management* (5th ed.). Sage.
- Bush, T. (2020). *Theories of educational leadership and management* (5th ed.). Sage.
- Deem, R., & Brehony, K. J. (2017). Management as ideology: The case of 'new managerialism' in higher education. *Oxford Review of Education*.
- Fullan, M. (2020). *Leading in a culture of change* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Fullan, M. (2020). *Leading in a culture of change* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Harris, A. (2020). *Distributed leadership matters: Perspectives, practicalities, and potential*. Corwin.
- Harris, A. (2020). *Distributed leadership matters*. Corwin.

- Leithwood, K., & Sun, J. (2020). Transformational school leadership effects on student achievement. *Educational Administration Quarterly*.
- Marginson, S. (2016). *The dream is over: The crisis of Clark Kerr's California idea of higher education*. University of California Press.
- Marginson, S. (2016). *The dream is over: The crisis of Clark Kerr's California idea of higher education*. University of California Press.
- Nguyen, D., Harris, A., & Ng, D. (2023). A review of the empirical research on distributed leadership in education. *School Leadership & Management*, 43(1), 1–21.
- Nguyen, D., Harris, A., & Ng, D. (2023). A review of the empirical research on distributed leadership in education. *School Leadership & Management*, 43(1), 1–21.
- OECD. (2023). *Education policy outlook 2023: Learning for tomorrow*. OECD Publishing.
- OECD. (2023). *Education policy outlook 2023: Learning for tomorrow*. OECD Publishing.
- Spillane, J. P. (2006). *Distributed leadership*. Jossey-Bass.
- UNESCO. (2023). *Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education*. UNESCO.

Data Availability Statement: The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. This is a fully open-access article distributed under the terms of the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).