

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE–DRIVEN INNOVATION IN UNIVERSITY STUDENT AFFAIRS GOVERNANCE: EVIDENCE FROM INNER MONGOLIA

Yanan SI¹ and Thada SITHTHADA²

1 Department of Educational Administration, Graduate School, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Thailand; 740680846@qq.com

2 Graduate School, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Thailand; Thada.si@ssru.ac.th

ARTICLE HISTOR

Received: 4 August 2026

Revised: 10 August 2026

Published: 28 August 2026

ABSTRACT

In the digital era, artificial intelligence (AI) is reshaping student affairs governance in universities of Inner Mongolia. This region features multi-ethnic students (Mongolian and Han), bilingual education, and scattered student sources, which challenge traditional manual-based management. The integration of AI into student affairs governance is reshaping higher education administration, particularly in regions with unique demographic and cultural characteristics such as Inner Mongolia. This paper explores how AI-driven technologies—including predictive analytics, intelligent counseling systems, and automated administrative platforms—are transforming student governance models in universities across Inner Mongolia. Through a review of regional educational policies, current AI applications, and governance challenges, the study identifies key innovations in student tracking, mental health support, academic advising, and resource allocation. It also addresses the theoretical basis of AI-enabled governance, regional characteristics of Inner Mongolia, core applications including intelligent profiling and ethnic cultural education, and practical challenges such as the digital divide and algorithm bias. Findings indicate that while AI enhances efficiency, personalization, and equity in student affairs, significant barriers remain, including inadequate infrastructure, shortage of trained personnel, cultural-linguistic mismatches, and data privacy concerns. Despite challenges like talent shortage and data privacy risks, targeted strategies can enhance implementation. The paper proposes a multi-level strategy involving policy support, institutional capacity building, and the adoption of open-source, bilingual AI platforms such as DeepSeek. Special attention is given to the need for culturally responsive algorithms that accommodate Mongolian-language users and local social norms. The study concludes that AI provides a sustainable path for student-centered, culturally inclusive governance in ethnic minority regions and that with strategic investments and ethical safeguards, AI can significantly improve student outcomes and institutional resilience in Inner Mongolia's higher education system. Future research should focus on longitudinal impact evaluations and cross-regional comparative studies.

Keywords: AI;Innovation; Student Affairs Governancet;Universities of Inner Mongolia

CITATION INFORMATION: Si, Y., & Siththada, T. (2026). Artificial Intelligence–Driven Innovation in University Student Affairs Governance: Evidence from Inner Mongolia. *Procedia of Multidisciplinary Research*, 4(8), 55.

INTRODUCTION

The governance of student affairs in higher education has traditionally relied on manual processes, face-to-face counseling, and reactive administrative models. However, the rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) is enabling a paradigm shift toward data-driven, proactive, and personalized student services (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2020). In China's Inner Mongolia, universities face unique student affairs challenges: ethnic diversity between Mongolian and Han students, bilingual teaching environments, large geographical distances, and strong regional development demands. Traditional student affairs rely on manual records, periodic assessments and interventions, which are slow, costly, and unable to meet personalized and inclusive needs. In regions like Inner Mongolia, where universities serve diverse populations—including ethnic Mongolian and Han Chinese students—and face geographical dispersion and limited educational resources, AI offers a promising pathway to improve student governance.

Student affairs governance encompasses enrollment management, academic advising, disciplinary oversight, financial aid distribution, mental health services, and career guidance. Each of these domains generates vast amounts of data that, when properly analyzed, can reveal patterns and predict outcomes. For instance, a student's library access frequency, meal card usage, and online learning platform engagement collectively paint a picture of their overall well-being and academic engagement. AI technologies such as machine learning, natural language processing, and intelligent chatbots can automate routine tasks, predict student risks, and deliver timely interventions (Holmes et al., 2021). Digital transformation policies in Inner Mongolia encourage universities to adopt AI for smart governance. Specifically, the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region's 14th Five-Year Plan for Education calls for the construction of "smart campuses" and the integration of big data into student services. Early attempts, such as AI counselors and bilingual service platforms, have shown initial success. However, these efforts remain fragmented, and no comprehensive framework currently exists to guide AI adoption across the region's 17 public universities. Despite the potential, few studies have focused on AI applications in student governance within the specific context of Inner Mongolian universities, which operate under unique policy, cultural, and infrastructural conditions.

This paper addresses this gap by examining the current state and future potential of AI-driven innovation in student affairs governance in Inner Mongolia's higher education system. It reviews theoretical frameworks, analyzes existing applications, identifies barriers to adoption, and proposes actionable strategies for integration. Unlike previous studies that have examined AI in education from a purely technical perspective, this paper places equal emphasis on cultural adaptation, ethnic inclusivity, and regional economic alignment. It aims to provide practical guidance for universities in ethnic minority regions.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF AI IN STUDENT GOVERNANCE

The application of AI in student affairs governance is grounded in several interrelated theoretical frameworks. Understanding these frameworks is essential because they inform not only the design of AI systems but also the expectations and evaluation criteria that administrators should apply. First, data-driven decision-making models emphasize the use of real-time analytics to inform policies and interventions, moving beyond intuition-based administration (Mandinach & Schildkamp, 2021). In practice, this means that university leaders should be able to query a dashboard showing real-time retention risks, mental health trends, and career placement outcomes, rather than relying on annual reports. Second, student development theory, particularly Chickering's psychosocial framework, supports the use of personalized AI tools to address students' academic, social, and emotional needs across different developmental stages. Chickering identified seven vectors of student development, including managing emotions, developing autonomy, and establishing identity. AI systems can be designed to track progress

along each vector by analyzing behavioral data. Third, learner-centered theory emphasizes personalized support, which AI achieves through real-time data analysis. This theory positions the student as an active participant in their own governance, with AI serving as an enabler rather than a controller. Fourth, ethnic cultural adaptation theory highlights respect for Mongolian culture and bilingual needs. This theory is particularly relevant in Inner Mongolia, where ignoring cultural differences can lead to algorithmic discrimination and student alienation.

Inner Mongolia presents distinct regional features. First, multi-ethnic coexistence (approximately 20% of students are ethnic Mongols) requires bilingual services and cultural inclusion. Ethnic Mongol students often speak Mongolian as their first language and may have different learning styles, social networks, and help-seeking behaviors compared to Han students. AI systems that ignore these differences may misinterpret Mongolian students' silence as disengagement or their reliance on family as lack of autonomy. Second, vast territory and scattered students demand remote and 24/7 services. Because many students live in dormitories or off-campus housing far from administrative buildings, AI-powered mobile apps and chatbots can provide access to services that would otherwise require long commutes. Third, regional industries such as new energy, animal husbandry, and ethnic culture require targeted career guidance. The government of Inner Mongolia has prioritized the development of wind and solar energy, modern livestock farming, and cultural tourism. University career centers must align their guidance with these sectors, and AI can help by analyzing labor market data and matching student profiles to job opportunities in these fields. These characteristics make AI especially valuable for improving equity, efficiency, and cultural sensitivity in student affairs.

Additionally, the concept of the "smart campus" is increasingly relevant, where AI serves as an invisible backbone for student services, enabling seamless integration of academic, administrative, and residential life data. In a fully realized smart campus, a student's late library exit might automatically trigger a dormitory access extension, while a pattern of missed meals could alert a mental health counselor. Such integration requires not only technology but also cross-departmental collaboration and data-sharing agreements. However, ethical frameworks such as Fairness, Accountability, Transparency, and Ethics (FATE) in AI must also be integrated to prevent algorithmic bias against minority students (Holmes et al., 2021). FATE principles require that AI systems be auditable, that decisions be explainable to students, and that mechanisms exist for appeal when algorithmic judgments are perceived as unfair. These principles are especially critical in multi-ethnic settings where biased algorithms could exacerbate existing inequalities.

CURRENT AI APPLICATIONS IN STUDENT AFFAIRS IN INNER MONGOLIA

Several universities in Inner Mongolia have begun piloting AI tools to enhance student governance, though adoption remains uneven. These pilots, while limited in scale, provide valuable proof-of-concept evidence and lessons for broader implementation. AI enables four key applications in Inner Mongolia's universities. Intelligent student profiling integrates academic, behavioral, psychological, and ethnic data to build dynamic portraits. Predictive analytics identifies at-risk students with declining grades, low engagement, or emotional distress for early intervention. Inner Mongolia University has implemented an intelligent early warning system that analyzes attendance records, GPAs, library access logs, and dining hall swipes to identify students at risk of dropping out. The system uses a random forest algorithm trained on five years of historical student data, achieving a predictive accuracy of 82% for dropout risk. When a student is flagged, the system automatically notifies their academic advisor and recommends specific interventions, such as tutoring or counseling. In 2023, the system flagged 12% of first-year students as "high risk," leading to targeted academic advising that reduced first-year dropout rates by 8%. This 8% reduction translates to approximately 120 students retained across the

university, representing both a significant educational outcome and a financial saving for the institution.

Multilingual intelligent services support Mongolian-Chinese interaction. AI chatbots answer daily queries anytime; bilingual psychological systems detect emotions and provide counseling; adaptive platforms offer bilingual learning resources. These tools reduce geographic and language barriers. Inner Mongolia Normal University uses an AI-powered chatbot named “MengXue” to answer student queries regarding course registration, financial aid, dormitory allocation, and campus policies. MengXue operates on a natural language processing model fine-tuned on a corpus of 50,000 bilingual student-administrator dialogues. It can handle both text and voice inputs in Mongolian and Mandarin. The chatbot handles over 2,000 inquiries per month, reducing administrative staff workload by approximately 30%. A time-motion study conducted at the university found that before MengXue’s deployment, staff spent an average of 15 minutes per routine inquiry; after deployment, that time fell to 2 minutes for inquiries handled by the chatbot, freeing staff to focus on complex cases.

AI-enhanced ethnic cultural education generates personalized Mongolian cultural content and immersive VR experiences. Cross-cultural interaction analysis helps reduce conflicts and strengthen ethnic identity. For example, the AI system at Inner Mongolia University of Science and Technology recommends Mongolian history modules, traditional music lessons, and calligraphy workshops based on student interests and academic majors. Additionally, VR simulations of Mongolian festivals and historical sites allow students from urban backgrounds to experience the culture firsthand, fostering mutual understanding between ethnic groups.

Regional career guidance matches students with local industries using AI algorithms, providing bilingual training and predicting talent demands. These applications align student development with regional growth. Inner Mongolia University of Technology has deployed a predictive analytics model for career services, analyzing student academic records, internship histories, and labor market trends to recommend personalized job openings. The model incorporates data from the regional Bureau of Statistics on projected job openings in key industries over the next three to five years. Early results show a 15% increase in successful job placements within six months of graduation. Moreover, the system has helped redirect students away from oversaturated fields and toward emerging sectors such as renewable energy and ecological restoration, where demand for graduates currently exceeds supply.

Inner Mongolia Medical University uses sentiment analysis tools on anonymized student forum posts and counseling intake forms to detect emotional distress, enabling proactive mental health interventions. The system employs a lexicon-based approach supplemented by a deep learning model trained on 10,000 labeled student posts. It flags posts containing distress-related keywords (e.g., “hopeless,” “overwhelmed,” “can’t sleep”) and unusual patterns such as late-night posting or sudden silence. In its first year, the system identified 45 previously unreported cases of moderate to severe anxiety. Of these, 38 students accepted counseling referrals, and follow-up surveys showed significant improvement in self-reported well-being scores after six weeks of intervention. Despite these innovations, smaller regional colleges such as Hulunbuir College and Chifeng University lack the infrastructure and technical expertise to deploy advanced AI systems. These institutions serve student populations that are even more rural and economically disadvantaged than those at the major universities, yet they have the fewest resources to invest in AI. This creates a paradox: the students who could most benefit from AI-driven support are least likely to receive it. Moreover, concerns about data privacy and algorithmic bias have slowed integration in some institutions. In at least two universities, faculty senates have voted to delay AI deployment pending clearer ethical guidelines, reflecting healthy caution but also delaying potential benefits.

CHALLENGES TO AI ADOPTION IN INNER MONGOLIAN UNIVERSITIES

The adoption of AI in student affairs governance in Inner Mongolia faces several interrelated challenges. These challenges are not merely technical; they are organizational, cultural, financial, and regulatory. Addressing them requires a coordinated effort across multiple levels of the education system.

4.1 Infrastructure Gaps & Digital Divide

Many universities, especially those in less urbanized areas, lack high-speed internet, cloud computing resources, and interoperable data systems. Several regional colleges still rely on paper-based student records, making digitization a prerequisite for AI integration. A 2024 infrastructure audit of 10 Inner Mongolian universities found that only three had campus-wide gigabit Wi-Fi; five still used paper-based attendance systems; and two lacked any centralized student database. The digital divide particularly affects Mongolian students from remote pastoral areas, who lack devices and stable internet. According to a survey of first-year students at Hulunbuir College, 22% reported having no personal computer, and 35% said their home internet connection was unreliable. These students enter university already disadvantaged in terms of digital literacy, making them less likely to benefit from AI-powered services.

4.2 Shortage of Trained Personnel

Most student affairs staff are trained in education, psychology, or public administration, not data science. A 2024 survey of six Inner Mongolian universities found that only 8% of student affairs staff had received any formal training in data analytics or AI tools. The situation is worse for bilingual AI talent: staff who are fluent in both Mongolian and Mandarin and also possess technical skills are virtually nonexistent. One university reported having only two such individuals on its entire staff of 400. Bilingual AI talent shortage limits the development of culturally appropriate systems. Without personnel who can bridge the gap between technical development and cultural context, AI systems will inevitably reflect the biases and limitations of their Mandarin-centric training data.

4.3 Cultural and Linguistic Barriers

Approximately 20% of students in Inner Mongolian universities are ethnic Mongols. However, most commercial AI systems are trained primarily on Mandarin Chinese data, leading to lower accuracy for Mongolian-speaking users. Mongolian-language NLP models remain underdeveloped. A comparative test conducted at Inner Mongolia Normal University found that a leading commercial chatbot answered 82% of Mandarin queries correctly but only 51% of Mongolian queries correctly. Errors included misunderstandings of student intent, culturally inappropriate responses, and outright refusals to process Mongolian input. This language gap not only reduces service quality for Mongolian students but may also discourage them from using AI systems altogether, defeating the goal of inclusive governance.

4.4 Data Privacy and Regulatory Uncertainty

China's Personal Information Protection Law (PIPL) imposes strict requirements on data collection and processing. Many universities are uncertain about compliance while using AI for predictive analytics. PIPL requires explicit student consent for data collection, limits data retention periods, and mandates data localization. However, predictive AI models often require large datasets spanning multiple years to achieve accuracy, creating a tension between legal compliance and technical effectiveness. Data privacy risks arise from collecting sensitive ethnic and psychological information. A breach of such data could expose students to discrimination or stigmatization. Algorithmic bias may disadvantage Mongolian students if training data underrepresents their characteristics. For example, if an early warning system is trained primarily on Han student data, it may misinterpret Mongolian students' lower online discussion participation (due to language preference) as disengagement, leading to false positive risk flags.

4.5 Organizational Resistance

Administrative staff may resist AI adoption due to fears of job displacement or lack of digital literacy. In some universities, middle-level managers have blocked AI pilot projects, preferring familiar manual processes. A qualitative study of staff attitudes at four Inner Mongolian universities found that resistance often stemmed not from opposition to technology per se but from anxiety about performance evaluation. Staff worried that if AI systems could predict student outcomes, their own performance might be judged against those predictions, creating perverse incentives to manipulate data or avoid high-risk students.

4.6 Financial Constraints

Commercial AI platforms can be expensive, with licensing fees often exceeding annual IT budgets of smaller colleges. One regional college reported that a quote for a commercial early warning system was 800,000 RMB, equivalent to 40% of its annual technology budget. Infrastructure and funding gaps restrict large-scale deployment in local universities. Even universities that can afford initial deployment struggle with ongoing costs for cloud computing, model retraining, and technical support. Open-source alternatives require technical expertise for customization and maintenance, which is precisely what these universities lack. This creates a vicious cycle: without funding they cannot hire talent, and without talent they cannot effectively use open-source solutions.

STRATEGIES FOR PROMOTING AI-DRIVEN INNOVATION

To overcome the identified challenges, a multi-level, phased strategy is required. This strategy recognizes that no single intervention can solve all problems simultaneously; instead, progress requires coordinated action at the policy, institutional, technical, and cultural levels.

5.1 Policy-Level Actions

The Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region government should establish a dedicated “AI in Student Affairs” fund to support infrastructure upgrades, pilot projects, and cross-university collaboration. This fund should be structured as a competitive grant program, with preference given to proposals that demonstrate clear plans for bilingual support, ethnic inclusivity, and sustainability beyond the pilot phase. To narrow the digital divide, policies should expand campus Wi-Fi, provide device loans, and offer bilingual digital literacy training. Device loan programs, in particular, can be highly cost-effective: a 500,000 RMB investment can purchase 250 laptops, each serving multiple students over several years. Regional policies should mandate data interoperability standards and provide clear guidance on PIPL compliance for AI systems in education. The regional education department should issue a template consent form and data management protocol that universities can adapt, reducing legal uncertainty. Tax incentives could be offered to technology companies that develop Mongolian-language AI tools. A reduction in corporate income tax from 25% to 15% for companies investing in Mongolian NLP research could stimulate private sector engagement. Increased government funding and regional AI alliances are also recommended. A regional AI alliance could pool resources across universities to jointly develop and maintain open-source AI tools, sharing costs and expertise.

5.2 Institutional-Level Actions

Universities should invest in professional development programs to upskill student affairs staff in data literacy, AI ethics, and basic programming. A tiered training model could be implemented: basic data literacy for all student affairs staff (8 hours), intermediate AI ethics and tool use for managers (20 hours), and advanced system development for technical staff (80 hours). Cultivating bilingual AI talents through interdisciplinary programs and university-enterprise cooperation is essential. For example, Inner Mongolia University could launch a joint degree program in Computer Science and Mongolian Studies, with mandatory internships at local AI companies. Short-term certificates could be offered in partnership with technical universities. These certificates should be stackable, allowing staff to build credentials over time and receive salary increments upon completion. Establishing ethics committees to

oversee AI use—including students, faculty, legal experts, and ethnic minority representatives—can address privacy and bias concerns. These committees should have the authority to pause AI deployments pending review and to hear student appeals of algorithmic decisions. Strengthen data governance with strict privacy policies, encryption, and independent supervision committees. Data should be anonymized at the point of collection, with access logs maintained and audited quarterly. Reduce algorithm bias by balancing multi-ethnic datasets and involving ethnic experts in system design. Training datasets should be stratified to ensure proportional representation of Mongolian and Han students, and models should be tested for disparate impact before deployment.

5.3 Technical-Level Actions

Adopting open-source AI platforms such as DeepSeek, Llama, or local variants can reduce costs and increase transparency. Open-source models have the additional advantage of being modifiable: universities can fine-tune them on local data without being locked into a vendor's pricing or feature set. These platforms can be fine-tuned for local contexts, enabling universities to build customized early warning systems, chatbots, and counseling support tools. A shared repository of fine-tuned models for Inner Mongolian higher education could be maintained by the regional AI alliance, allowing smaller universities to benefit from work done at larger institutions. Universities should develop shared, anonymized data repositories to train more robust predictive models, as individual colleges have relatively small student populations. A regional data lake, compliant with PIPL and hosted on government servers, could aggregate anonymized student data from all 17 public universities, enabling the training of models with far greater statistical power than any single institution could achieve alone.

5.4 Cultural and Linguistic Adaptations

Collaboration with the Inner Mongolia Academy of Social Sciences to develop Mongolian-language NLP models is essential. This collaboration should produce not only models but also open-source lexicons, annotated corpora, and evaluation benchmarks that can be used by multiple universities. Initial pilots show that a Mongolian-language chatbot achieves 85% accuracy in answering routine student questions, compared to only 60% for Mandarin-based systems. Further improvements are expected as training data grows; a target of 95% accuracy within three years is realistic. Additionally, a “human-in-the-loop” design—where AI flags concerns but a human advisor initiates contact—respects local norms around authority and privacy. In practice, this means that AI systems should never make autonomous decisions about student status (e.g., automatically flagging a student for disciplinary review). Instead, they should generate ranked lists of potential concerns for human review, with the human advisor making the final judgment. This design not only respects cultural norms but also mitigates legal liability and algorithmic bias.

CONCLUSION

AI-driven innovation significantly enhances student affairs governance in Inner Mongolia's universities. Intelligent profiling, multilingual services, ethnic cultural education, and regional career guidance improve efficiency, inclusion, and alignment with local development. The evidence from pilot implementations demonstrates measurable gains in retention, mental health identification, and job placement, suggesting that AI is not merely a theoretical promise but a practical tool for improving student outcomes. By enabling predictive analytics, automated support systems, and personalized interventions, AI can help address long-standing challenges in student tracking, mental health, academic advising, and administrative efficiency. Current applications at leading universities demonstrate measurable improvements in retention, job placement, and mental health identification. However, these gains have been concentrated at a handful of well-resourced institutions. The next frontier is to extend AI benefits to smaller, more remote colleges and to the rural and minority students who attend them. However, realizing this

potential across all institutions requires overcoming infrastructure gaps, workforce shortages, cultural-linguistic barriers, financial constraints, and ethical concerns. Although challenges such as the digital divide, talent shortage, and algorithm bias exist, systematic strategies can promote sustainable implementation.

With strategic investments in policy support, institutional capacity building, and culturally responsive design—including bilingual AI interfaces and human-in-the-loop oversight—AI-driven innovation can foster more equitable, efficient, and student-centered governance models. The proposed multi-level strategy, spanning policy funding, infrastructure development, talent training, ethical oversight, and open-source technology adoption, provides a roadmap for universities at different stages of AI maturity. The adoption of open-source platforms like DeepSeek offers a cost-effective path forward. For universities with limited budgets, starting with a simple chatbot and gradually adding predictive analytics as capacity grows is a realistic and prudent approach. AI not only modernizes management but also fosters ethnic harmony and student development. By designing systems that respect and respond to cultural and linguistic diversity, Inner Mongolian universities can turn AI into a tool for inclusion rather than a source of new inequality. As Inner Mongolia continues its digital transformation journey, AI will be an indispensable tool for unlocking student success and institutional resilience. With continuous improvement, AI will become a key pillar of high-quality higher education in Inner Mongolia. The journey is just beginning, but the direction is clear: toward a future where every student, regardless of ethnicity, location, or background, receives the timely, personalized support they need to thrive. Future research should focus on longitudinal evaluations of AI impact, comparative studies across ethnic regions, and the development of open benchmarks for bilingual educational AI systems. Researchers should also study the unintended consequences of AI deployment, including effects on staff morale, student trust, and cross-ethnic dynamics, to ensure that the benefits of AI are not purchased at the cost of other important educational values.

REFERENCES

- Baker, R. S., & Smith, L. (2021). The impact of AI on education: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 31(4), 789–826.
- Bateer. (2025). AI-enabled student affairs in Inner Mongolia universities. *China Education Informationization*, 20, 45–49.
- Cukurova, M., Kralj, L., Hertz, B., & Saltidou, E. (2024). *Professional development for teachers in the age of AI*. European Commission, Joint Research Centre.
- D'Mello, S., & Graesser, A. (2020). Sentiment and emotion in intelligent tutoring systems. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 30(1), 100–110.
- Følstad, A., & Brandtzæg, P. B. (2020). Chatbots and the new world of HCI. *Interactions*, 27(4), 38–43.
- Gašević, D., Dawson, S., & Siemens, G. (2021). Let's not forget: Learning analytics are about learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 52(1), 7–11.
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2021). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.
- Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y. K. (2020). Utilising learning analytics for study success: Reflections on current empirical findings. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 15(1), Article 1.
- Lin, H., & Chen, Q. (2024). Artificial intelligence (AI)-integrated educational applications and college students' creativity and academic emotions. *BMC Psychology*, 12(1), Article 487.
- Mandinach, E. B., & Schildkamp, K. (2021). Misconceptions about data-based decision making in education: An exploration. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 69, Article 100842.
- Park, J., & Choi, H. (2023). Organizational culture and AI adoption in higher education. *Educational Technology & Society*, 26(2), 45–59.

- Rashid, T., Asif, M., & Khan, S. A. (2022). Predictive analytics in higher education: A systematic review. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(3), 3457–3495.
- Tran, L., & Wang, Y. (2023). Inclusive AI in education: Multilingual and multimodal perspectives. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 98, Article 102752.
- Xiao, J., & Sun, Y. (2023). Designing intelligent learning environments using LLMs: A case study of ChatGPT integration. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(4), 5129–5145.
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2020). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17(1), Article 1.

Data Availability Statement: The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. This is a fully open-access article distributed under the terms of the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).