

THE APPLICATION OF DIGITAL LEADERSHIP FOR CHINESE HIGHER EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATORS

Xiaoxing WU¹ and Thada SITHTHADA¹

1 Educational Administration, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Thailand;
584953670@qq.com (X.W.); Thada.si@ssru.ac.th (T.S.)

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 21 July 2026

Revised: 23 July 2026

Published: 24 July 2026

ABSTRACT

Digital transformation has fundamentally changed higher education governance, requiring administrators to adopt new leadership approaches that effectively integrate digital technologies into institutional management. This paper examines the application of digital leadership in higher education administration through a comprehensive review of recent literature. Rather than focusing solely on the conceptual dimensions of digital leadership, the study explores how digital leadership is applied in strategic planning, institutional governance, organizational innovation, and data-driven decision-making. The analysis indicates that effective digital leadership enables higher education administrators to improve governance efficiency, promote organizational innovation, strengthen digital culture, and support sustainable institutional development. The study further identifies major implementation challenges, including digital competency gaps, organizational resistance, infrastructure limitations, and policy coordination. Finally, practical recommendations are proposed to enhance administrators' digital leadership capabilities and facilitate successful digital transformation in higher education institutions. The findings contribute to the growing body of research on digital leadership by emphasizing its practical applications in university governance and providing useful implications for educational policymakers and institutional leaders (Jameson et al., 2022).

Keywords: Digital Leadership, Higher Education, Chinese Educational Administrators

CITATION INFORMATION: Wu, X., & Siththada, T. (2026). The Application of Digital Leadership for Chinese Higher Educational Administrators. *Procedia of Multidisciplinary Research*, 4(7), 89.

INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of digital technologies, including artificial intelligence (AI), big data, cloud computing, and the Internet of Things (IoT), has fundamentally transformed the governance and management of higher education institutions. Universities are increasingly expected to leverage digital technologies to enhance administrative efficiency, improve decision-making processes, and strengthen institutional competitiveness (Katsamakas et al., 2024). Consequently, digital transformation has become a strategic priority for higher education institutions worldwide, requiring administrators to adopt innovative leadership approaches that effectively integrate digital technologies into organizational management and governance (OECD, 2023). Digital transformation in higher education extends beyond the implementation of advanced technologies. It involves a comprehensive organizational change process that reshapes institutional structures, management practices, organizational culture, and governance mechanisms (Ratajczak, 2022). The success of this transformation depends largely on leadership capable of aligning digital initiatives with institutional strategies, fostering innovation, facilitating organizational collaboration, and promoting data-informed decision-making. As a result, digital leadership has emerged as a critical competency for higher education administrators seeking to navigate the complexities of digital transformation and achieve sustainable institutional development (Yousef et al., 2025). Digital leadership refers to the capability of leaders to strategically utilize digital technologies to support organizational innovation, optimize governance processes, and create value through digital transformation. In higher education, digital leadership encompasses the development of digital strategies, the implementation of intelligent governance systems, the promotion of digital innovation, and the effective use of institutional data to support evidence-based decision-making (Ghamrawi & Tamim, 2023). As universities continue to develop smart campuses and expand digital learning and administrative systems, higher education administrators are expected to possess not only managerial expertise but also the digital competencies necessary to lead institutional transformation in an increasingly technology-driven environment (Miao & Holmes, 2023). Although digital leadership has attracted growing attention in educational leadership and management research, existing studies have primarily focused on conceptual frameworks, leadership competencies, and the technological aspects of digital transformation (Okunlola & Naicker, 2025). Comparatively fewer studies have systematically examined how digital leadership is applied in the daily practices of higher education administrators, particularly in strategic planning, digital governance, organizational innovation, and data-driven decision-making. Furthermore, many higher education institutions continue to face practical challenges, including insufficient digital competencies among administrators, organizational resistance to change, fragmented information systems, and concerns regarding data governance and ethical use of digital technologies (Dwivedi et al., 2023). These challenges underscore the need to further explore the practical application of digital leadership within higher education administration. Therefore, this paper aims to examine the application of digital leadership for higher education administrators through a comprehensive review of recent literature. Specifically, the study discusses how digital leadership can be applied to institutional strategic planning, governance modernization, organizational innovation, and evidence-based decision-making. In addition, the paper identifies the major challenges associated with implementing digital leadership and proposes strategies for strengthening leadership capacity in higher education institutions. The findings are expected to contribute to the growing body of knowledge on digital leadership while providing practical implications for higher education administrators, policymakers, and researchers engaged in educational digital transformation (Zhao & Liu, 2022).

CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS OF DIGITAL LEADERSHIP

The rapid advancement of digital technologies has transformed organizational management and accelerated digital transformation in higher education. As universities increasingly integrate digital

technologies into governance, teaching, and administration, digital leadership has become an essential capability for higher education administrators. Understanding its conceptual foundations is therefore crucial for explaining how digital leadership supports institutional innovation, effective governance, and sustainable development. This chapter examines the definition, characteristics, and significance of digital leadership to establish the theoretical foundation for this study (AlAjmi, 2022).

Definition of Digital Leadership

Digital leadership has emerged as a critical concept in response to the rapid digital transformation of organizations across various sectors. Unlike traditional leadership, which primarily focuses on organizational management and interpersonal relationships, digital leadership emphasizes the strategic integration of digital technologies into organizational processes to improve performance, foster innovation, and facilitate sustainable development (Ghamrawi & Tamim, 2023). It involves the ability to leverage digital technologies while guiding organizational change and promoting a culture that embraces continuous learning and innovation.

Recent studies suggest that digital leadership is not limited to technological expertise but encompasses a combination of strategic vision, digital competence, adaptive leadership, and organizational transformation capabilities (Okunlola & Naicker, 2025). Higher education administrators are therefore expected to understand emerging digital technologies and effectively integrate them into institutional governance, academic management, and administrative decision-making. Consequently, digital leadership has become an essential leadership competency for universities undergoing digital transformation (Jameson et al., 2022).

Characteristics of Digital Leadership

Digital leadership is widely recognized as a multidimensional leadership approach characterized by several interconnected competencies. First, digital leaders possess a strategic vision that enables them to align digital transformation initiatives with institutional missions and long-term development goals (Ratajczak, 2022). Second, they demonstrate digital competence by understanding the opportunities and limitations of emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, cloud computing, big data, and learning analytics (Katsamakos et al., 2024).

In addition, digital leaders foster organizational innovation by encouraging collaboration, supporting creative problem-solving, and promoting an open organizational culture that is receptive to technological change (Yousef et al., 2025). Another important characteristic is data-driven decision-making, whereby leaders utilize institutional data and analytical tools to support evidence-based planning, resource allocation, and policy formulation (Dwivedi et al., 2023). Finally, digital leadership emphasizes adaptability, enabling leaders to respond effectively to rapidly changing technological environments and evolving stakeholder expectations (AlAjmi, 2022).

Significance of Digital Leadership in Higher Education

The increasing digitalization of higher education has significantly expanded the responsibilities of university administrators. Beyond managing routine administrative functions, leaders are expected to guide institutional digital transformation, improve governance efficiency, and support innovation in teaching, research, and public service (Zhao & Liu, 2022). Digital leadership therefore serves as a strategic capability that enables higher education institutions to adapt to technological change while maintaining educational quality and organizational sustainability (OECD, 2023).

Moreover, digital leadership facilitates the development of intelligent governance systems by promoting the effective integration of digital technologies into institutional operations (Katsamakos et al., 2024). Through strategic planning, digital governance, and evidence-based management, administrators can enhance organizational transparency, improve service delivery, and strengthen institutional resilience (Miao et al., 2021). As universities continue to respond to emerging technologies and increasingly complex educational environments, digital leadership is

becoming a fundamental requirement for effective higher education administration (UNESCO, 2023).

Overall, digital leadership represents a comprehensive leadership approach that combines technological awareness, strategic thinking, organizational innovation, and data-informed governance. Establishing a clear understanding of its conceptual foundations provides the theoretical basis for examining how digital leadership can be effectively applied in higher education administration. The following section therefore explores the practical applications of digital leadership in university governance and institutional management (Jameson et al., 2022).

APPLICATIONS OF DIGITAL LEADERSHIP IN HIGHER EDUCATION ADMINISTRATION

Digital leadership has become increasingly important in higher education administration as universities continue to accelerate digital transformation. The application of digital leadership extends beyond the adoption of digital technologies; it involves integrating digital thinking into institutional governance, strategic management, organizational innovation, and evidence-based decision-making (Ghamrawi & Tamim, 2023). Effective digital leadership enables higher education administrators to coordinate institutional resources, improve governance efficiency, and respond proactively to the rapidly changing educational environment (Yousef et al., 2025).

Digital Strategic Planning

Strategic planning is one of the most significant applications of digital leadership in higher education administration. Higher education administrators are responsible for developing institutional digital strategies that align technological innovation with the university's mission, vision, and long-term development goals (Ratajczak, 2022). Rather than treating digital transformation as an isolated technological initiative, digital leaders integrate digital development into institutional strategic planning to ensure sustainable organizational growth (Zhao & Liu, 2022).

Digital strategic planning also involves identifying priorities for digital investment, allocating resources effectively, and establishing measurable objectives for digital transformation (Okunlola & Naicker, 2025). By developing comprehensive digital roadmaps, administrators can coordinate cross-departmental collaboration, optimize organizational processes, and strengthen institutional competitiveness (OECD, 2023). In addition, strategic leadership enables universities to anticipate technological changes and respond flexibly to emerging educational needs (AlAjmi, 2022).

Digital Governance and Administrative Management

Another important application of digital leadership is the modernization of university governance through digital technologies. Digital governance focuses on improving administrative efficiency, organizational coordination, and service quality by integrating information systems into institutional management (Katsamakas et al., 2024). Higher education administrators increasingly rely on digital platforms to manage academic affairs, student services, financial administration, human resource management, and quality assurance (Dwivedi et al., 2023).

The implementation of integrated management information systems enables real-time communication, resource sharing, and standardized administrative procedures across different departments (Jameson et al., 2022). Smart campus technologies further support efficient campus operations by enhancing security management, facility maintenance, and student support services (Miao & Holmes, 2023). Through effective digital governance, administrators can improve organizational transparency, strengthen accountability, and provide more responsive services to students, faculty, and other stakeholders (UNESCO, 2024).

Promoting Organizational Innovation

Digital leadership also plays a critical role in fostering organizational innovation within higher education institutions. Successful digital transformation requires more than technological infrastructure; it depends on an organizational culture that encourages innovation, collaboration,

and continuous learning (Yousef et al., 2025). Higher education administrators are responsible for creating an environment in which faculty members, administrative staff, and students actively participate in digital innovation initiatives (Ghamrawi & Tamim, 2023).

By encouraging interdisciplinary collaboration, supporting the adoption of emerging technologies, and promoting digital experimentation, administrators can facilitate continuous organizational improvement (Ratajczak, 2022). The application of artificial intelligence, cloud computing, learning management systems, and intelligent educational platforms has expanded opportunities for innovation in teaching, research, and institutional management (Katsamakas et al., 2024). Digital leaders therefore serve as change agents who inspire organizational adaptability and cultivate a culture that embraces technological advancement (OECD, 2023).

Data-Driven Decision-Making

The increasing availability of institutional data has transformed decision-making processes in higher education administration. Digital leadership emphasizes the effective use of data analytics to support evidence-based management rather than relying solely on personal experience or intuition (Dwivedi et al., 2023). Higher education administrators can utilize data collected from academic management systems, student information systems, learning analytics platforms, and institutional performance indicators to improve planning and policy development (Okunlola & Naicker, 2025).

Data-driven decision-making enables administrators to identify institutional trends, evaluate organizational performance, allocate resources more efficiently, and monitor the effectiveness of strategic initiatives (AlAjmi, 2022). Furthermore, predictive analytics and artificial intelligence technologies provide valuable insights into student success, enrollment management, academic quality assurance, and institutional risk management (Miao et al., 2021). By integrating data analytics into governance processes, digital leaders can enhance decision quality, increase organizational responsiveness, and support continuous institutional improvement (Zhao & Liu, 2022).

Overall, the application of digital leadership has become an essential component of higher education administration. Through strategic planning, digital governance, organizational innovation, and data-driven decision-making, higher education administrators can strengthen institutional capacity, improve governance effectiveness, and facilitate sustainable digital transformation (Jameson et al., 2022). However, despite these significant benefits, the practical implementation of digital leadership continues to face various organizational and technological challenges, which are discussed in the following section (UNESCO, 2023).

CHALLENGES IN APPLYING DIGITAL LEADERSHIP IN HIGHER EDUCATION

Although digital leadership has created significant opportunities for improving higher education governance, its implementation remains challenging. The successful application of digital leadership requires not only advanced technologies but also supportive organizational environments, competent leaders, and effective governance mechanisms (Yousef et al., 2025). Many higher education institutions continue to encounter barriers that hinder digital transformation and limit the effectiveness of digital leadership. These challenges are associated with leadership competencies, organizational culture, technological infrastructure, and ethical governance (Ghamrawi & Tamim, 2023).

Insufficient Digital Competencies Among Higher Education Administrators

One of the most significant challenges is the uneven level of digital competencies among higher education administrators. While universities increasingly adopt digital technologies, some administrators still rely on traditional management approaches and have limited knowledge of emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics, cloud computing, and learning analytics (Okunlola & Naicker, 2025). As a result, they may experience difficulties in

developing digital strategies, managing technology-enabled organizational change, and utilizing institutional data for evidence-based decision-making (AlAjmi, 2022).

Furthermore, the rapid pace of technological development requires administrators to continuously update their digital knowledge and leadership skills (Katsamakos et al., 2024). Without ongoing professional development, leadership capacity may fail to keep pace with institutional digital transformation, thereby reducing the effectiveness of digital initiatives (Dwivedi et al., 2023).

Organizational Resistance to Digital Transformation

Organizational resistance remains another major obstacle to the implementation of digital leadership. Digital transformation often requires substantial changes to institutional structures, administrative procedures, and organizational culture (Ratajczak, 2022). Faculty members and administrative staff may be reluctant to adopt new technologies because of increased workloads, uncertainty regarding new systems, or concerns about changes to established working practices (Zhao & Liu, 2022).

In addition, ineffective communication and limited stakeholder participation may further reduce acceptance of digital transformation initiatives. Without a shared understanding of the benefits of digital innovation, organizational members may perceive digital reform as a technological burden rather than an opportunity for institutional improvement (OECD, 2023). Consequently, digital leaders must address both technological and human factors when managing organizational change (Jameson et al., 2022).

Technological Infrastructure and Resource Constraints

The effectiveness of digital leadership is closely associated with the availability of adequate technological infrastructure and institutional resources (Yousef et al., 2025). Although many universities have invested in digital platforms and smart campus initiatives, disparities in financial resources, technological capacity, and system integration continue to exist across institutions (Miao & Holmes, 2023).

Fragmented information systems, incompatible digital platforms, and insufficient technical support often reduce the efficiency of administrative processes and limit cross-departmental collaboration (Ghamrawi & Tamim, 2023). In addition, maintaining advanced digital infrastructure requires substantial financial investment and continuous technological upgrading, which may present significant challenges for institutions with limited budgets or uneven regional development (UNESCO, 2023).

Data Governance, Privacy, and Ethical Concerns

As higher education institutions increasingly rely on digital technologies and data analytics, issues related to data governance, privacy protection, cybersecurity, and the ethical use of artificial intelligence have become increasingly important (Dwivedi et al., 2023). Universities collect large volumes of institutional and personal data through learning management systems, student information systems, and administrative platforms. Ensuring the security, accuracy, and responsible use of these data has therefore become a critical responsibility for higher education administrators (Katsamakos et al., 2024).

Moreover, the growing application of artificial intelligence in higher education raises concerns regarding algorithmic transparency, fairness, accountability, and data privacy (Miao et al., 2021). Digital leaders must establish appropriate governance policies and ethical guidelines to ensure that digital technologies are applied responsibly while maintaining public trust and protecting the rights of students and staff (OECD, 2023).

STRATEGIES FOR STRENGTHENING DIGITAL LEADERSHIP IN HIGHER EDUCATION

To maximize the effectiveness of digital leadership, higher education institutions should adopt comprehensive strategies that support sustainable digital transformation. These strategies should integrate leadership competency development, organizational culture, digital governance,

technological infrastructure, policy support, and external collaboration (Zhao & Liu, 2022). Digital transformation should not be regarded simply as the adoption of new technologies; instead, it should be managed as an institution-wide change process that improves teaching and learning, research, administration, decision-making, and stakeholder services (Ratajczak, 2022).

Enhancing Digital Leadership Competencies

Universities should provide continuous professional development programs to strengthen administrators' digital leadership competencies in areas such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics, digital governance, cybersecurity, educational technology, innovation management, and strategic planning (Okunlola & Naicker, 2025). Administrators should understand not only how digital technologies function but also how they can be applied to improve institutional performance and educational quality (AlAjmi, 2022). Training activities may include workshops, leadership development programs, mentoring, professional learning communities, study visits, and collaboration with technology experts (Yousef et al., 2025). Continuous learning enables leaders to anticipate technological changes, evaluate emerging digital tools, manage digital risks, and make informed decisions based on reliable data (Jameson et al., 2022). Institutions should also establish competency standards and assessment mechanisms to identify administrators' development needs and monitor their progress over time (Ghamrawi & Tamim, 2023).

Promoting a Digital Organizational Culture

Higher education administrators should foster a collaborative, flexible, and innovation-oriented organizational culture that encourages faculty members and staff to adopt digital technologies in their work (Katsamakos et al., 2024). Leaders should communicate a clear digital vision and demonstrate their commitment by actively using digital platforms, supporting experimentation, and recognizing successful digital practices (Dwivedi et al., 2023). Open communication, interdisciplinary collaboration, knowledge sharing, and staff participation in decision-making can reduce resistance to change and strengthen institutional ownership of digital initiatives (OECD, 2023). Universities may establish digital innovation teams, communities of practice, and pilot projects through which personnel can test new technologies and share lessons learned (Miao & Holmes, 2023). A supportive digital culture should also accept reasonable risks and regard challenges or unsuccessful experiments as opportunities for organizational learning and continuous improvement (UNESCO, 2023).

Strengthening Digital Governance and Infrastructure

Higher education institutions should establish integrated digital governance systems supported by reliable technological infrastructure, standardized data-management procedures, and effective cybersecurity measures (Zhao & Liu, 2022). Digital governance should clearly define responsibilities, decision-making authority, technology standards, data ownership, privacy protection, and accountability mechanisms (Ratajczak, 2022). Institutions should invest in high-speed networks, cloud services, learning management systems, digital libraries, institutional databases, cybersecurity systems, and accessible technical support (Yousef et al., 2025). Different digital platforms should be integrated to reduce duplicated work and enable the accurate exchange of information across academic and administrative units (Ghamrawi & Tamim, 2023). Moreover, administrators should use institutional dashboards, learning analytics, and real-time data to monitor performance and support evidence-based decision-making (Okunlola & Naicker, 2025). Regular evaluation and upgrading of infrastructure are necessary to ensure the security, accessibility, reliability, and long-term sustainability of digital operations (AlAjmi, 2022).

Strengthening Policy Support and Collaboration

Governments and higher education institutions should strengthen policy support, increase investment in digital transformation, and establish clear standards for the ethical and responsible use of digital technologies (UNESCO, 2024). Policies should address funding, digital accessibility, data protection, cybersecurity, artificial intelligence, personnel development, and the evaluation of digital initiatives (Miao et al., 2021). At the institutional level, universities should translate national

policies into practical strategic plans, implementation guidelines, and measurable performance indicators (OECD, 2023). Collaboration among universities, government agencies, industries, technology companies, and professional organizations should also be promoted (Dwivedi et al., 2023). Such partnerships can provide technological expertise, financial support, professional training, research opportunities, and access to innovative platforms (Katsamakas et al., 2024). Inter-university networks can further support the exchange of good practices and shared digital resources (Jameson et al., 2022). Through effective policies and collaborative partnerships, higher education institutions can accelerate digital innovation, reduce inequalities in technological access, and promote sustainable institutional development (Zhao & Liu, 2022).

CONCLUSION

Digital leadership has become an essential capability for higher education administrators in the era of digital transformation. This paper highlights the practical application of digital leadership in strategic planning, digital governance, organizational innovation, and data-driven decision-making, while identifying the major challenges associated with its implementation (Yousef et al., 2025). Strengthening digital leadership through continuous professional development, supportive organizational culture, effective governance, and collaborative partnerships can enhance institutional effectiveness and promote sustainable development (Ghamrawi & Tamim, 2023). As digital technologies continue to evolve, the successful application of digital leadership will play an increasingly important role in advancing the modernization and competitiveness of higher education institutions (Okunlola & Naicker, 2025).

REFERENCES

- AlAjmi, M. K. (2022). The impact of digital leadership on teachers' technology integration during the COVID-19 pandemic in Kuwait. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 112, 101928. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2022.101928>
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., Baabdullah, A. M., Koohang, A., Raghavan, V., Ahuja, M., Albanna, H., Albashrawi, M., Al-Busaidi, A. S., et al. (2023). So what if ChatGPT wrote it? Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 71, 102642. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642>
- Ghamrawi, N., & Tamim, R. M. (2023). A typology for digital leadership in higher education: The case of a large-scale mobile technology initiative (using tablets). *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(6), 7089–7110. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11483-w>
- Jameson, J., Rumyantseva, N., Cai, M., Markowski, M., Essex, R., & McNay, I. (2022). A systematic review and framework for digital leadership research maturity in higher education. *Computers and Education Open*, 3, 100115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeo.2022.100115>
- Katsamakas, E., Pavlov, O. V., & Saklad, R. (2024). Artificial intelligence and the transformation of higher education institutions. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 6, 100197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100197>
- Miao, F., & Holmes, W. (2023). Guidance for generative AI in education and research. UNESCO.
- Miao, F., Holmes, W., Huang, R., & Zhang, H. (2021). AI and education: Guidance for policy-makers. UNESCO.
- OECD. (2023). *OECD Digital Education Outlook 2023: Towards an effective digital education ecosystem*. OECD Publishing.
- Okunlola, J. O., & Naicker, S. R. (2025). Digital leadership in education: A bibliometric analysis of research trends from 1993 to 2024. *F1000Research*, 14, 687. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.166667.2>

- Ratajczak, S. (2022). Digital leadership at universities: A systematic literature review. *Forum Scientiae Oeconomia*, 10(4), 133–150. https://doi.org/10.23762/FSO_VOL10_NO4_7
- UNESCO. (2023). *Global Education Monitoring Report 2023: Technology in education—A tool on whose terms?* UNESCO.
- UNESCO. (2024). *AI competency framework for teachers*. UNESCO.
- Yousef, D. A., Singh, S. K., et al. (2025). Enhancing digital productivity and capability in higher education through authentic leader behaviors: A cross-cultural structural equation model. *Education and Information Technologies*, 30, 17751–17767. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-025-13422-x>
- Zhao, Y., & Liu, J. (2022). Digital transformation and governance reform in higher education in China. *Tsinghua Journal of Education*, 43(4), 98–106.

Data Availability Statement: The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. This is a fully open-access article distributed under the terms of the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).